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Professional Engineers Ontario has provided members with authoritative and reliable information from its salary survey for approximately 50 years. During this time, the survey methodology and reporting have undergone a number of changes to ensure the quality and usefulness of the data are the best that can be obtained.

In 1996, PEO members endorsed a proposal to change this survey from an annual to a biennial frequency. Consequently, the PEO Membership Salary Survey was not conducted in 1997 or 1999. The percentage increases which are presented throughout this report are not annual increases-instead they represent the two-year change in median statistics since the last membership survey in 1998.

Introduced into this year's survey were internet access options for both respondents' data submissions and the detailed reporting of aggregate results. A four-page summary report was printed and distributed to all PEO members through the association's magazine Engineering Dimensions in March 2001. This Detailed Report, which is 16 pages cover-to-cover, is being distributed primarily through the PEO website. Copies can also be requested by contacting PEO's Publications Desk.

To gain full benefit from this survey report, it is recommended that members read through each section before attempting to locate themselves on a particular salary level in a given table.

The membership survey results complement the Report of Engineers' Salaries-Survey of Employers, which is conducted annually in June. In comparing the results of the Survey of Members with the Survey of Employers, some key differences should be kept in mind. First, the surveys are conducted six months apart. Second, the Survey of Members includes teachers, professors and senior executives who are not represented in the Survey of Employers. Third, the Survey of Members also reports on PEO members who work in non-engineering jobs.

If you have any comments, questions or wish to request a customized report, please contact :

PEO Salary Surveys
25 Sheppard Avenue West, Suite 1000
Toronto ON M2N 6S9
Fax: 416-224-8168; 1-800-268-0496
Email: jdalton@bconnex.net

Janet Dalton
Research Consultant

This report presents the findings of the 46th Salary Survey of PEO active members and engineers-in-training (EITs) residing in Ontario.

The purpose of the survey is to provide information on basic salaries and total cash compensation earned by PEO members employed in full-time positions. The survey also shows how such factors as geography, industry sector and responsibility level influence engineers' incomes.

Trends in compensation and in the demographics of association membership are shown by comparing the results with those of previous survey years.

Many factors influence engineers' incomes. Two of the most frequently used determinants, year of (bachelor degree) graduation and responsibility level, are insufficient in themselves to explain all of the differences in salaries. Other factors such as industry sector, which is more susceptible to marketplace conditions, and different corporate compensation policies, have obvious but less quantifiable effects on salary rates.

Pages 9 and 10 of this report provide a detailed comparative analysis of salaries for male and female engineers and EITs graduating from 1978 to 1999. Two graphs are presented on page 11 related to total cash compensation. Also included in this report is a profile of the demographics and compensation averages of self-employed PEO members.

PEO's Classification Guide of Engineering Responsibility Levels - defining Levels A through Beyond Level F - is posted on PEO's website.

To access all web-based
PEO Salary Survey reports and guidelines
www.peo.on.ca
then use the Navigational Menus to select
"Engineering Practice / PEO Salary Surveys"

The Membership Salary Survey was based on active PEO members and engineers-in-training (EITs) residing in Ontario Retired members were not included. Questionnaires were sent to $100 \%$ of the total active PEO membership. Members were given the option of responding either through a custombuilt website or through a postage-paid return mail option.

The sample size of 48,780 produced 8,275 valid returns, for a response rate of $17 \%$. Women comprised $8.3 \%$ of the survey respondents. Of the total returns, $7,535(91 \%)$ were from members employed in full-time positions - either permanent or contract. The majority of these respondents $(7,503)$ provided salary compensation data.

Surveys from part-time (e.g. fewer than 30 hours per week) and self-employed members are not included in this report the only exception is Table 8 which examines compensation statistics for self-employed PEO members.

Not every participant answered all the survey questions. Returns were included if respondents provided sufficient data to be included in at least one of the salary tables.

## Definitions/Data Interpretation

Salaries as defined in this report constitute annual base salaries paid as of December 1, 2000 to full-time employees who work at least 30 hours per week. "Annual Base Salary" statistics do NOT include bonuses, commissions, profit sharing or overtime. Tables and figures reporting "Total Cash Compensation" (Tables 7 \& 8 and Figures 5 \& 6) do include the previously mentioned pay components.

Number of engineers (\#) refers to the usable number of responses from which the statistics were derived for the data line in question. Table counts may not equal the total responses $(7,503)$ where the "Not Reported" category is not shown. For the same reason, and due to rounding, percentages may not add up to exactly $100 \%$.

The average salary refers to the mean or numerical average. The median, quartiles and deciles are measure of dispersion and are defined below.

Low Decile: $90 \%$ of the salaries were above this point and $10 \%$ were below it.

Low Quartile: $75 \%$ of the salaries were above this point and $25 \%$ were below it.

Median: the mid-point, $50 \%$ above and $50 \%$ below.
High Quartile: $25 \%$ of the salaries were above this point and $75 \%$ were below it.

High Decile: $10 \%$ of the salaries were above this point and $90 \%$ were below it.

When the sample size is small, greater consideration should be given to median values rather than mean averages for comparison purposes. This is because medians are less influenced by a few very high or low salaries.

The percentage increase column that appears in most tables indicates the change in the median salary compared to the last survey conducted, dated December 1, 1998. Sampling differences have some effect on these percentages.

## RESULTS

## Demographics

The survey results were obtained by sampling $100 \%$ of the active association membership in Ontario. The response rate of $17 \%$ provided a solid number of returns. The demographics of the sample closely match those from previous surveys and the PEO membership in general.

Since 1994, the survey has not included members classified as retired. Consequently the data reported in Table 1. Respondents' Employment Status will show significant jumps from 1993 for some data lines reported. In 1996, full-time employees were asked to indicate whether their positions were permanent or contract.

The percentage of PEO members working full-time is $91 \%$. Seven percent of Ontario's professional engineers are selfemployed. Unemployment has dropped to less than one percent - this is the lowest rate reported in the past twelve years. The "Other" category includes semi-retired and members on parental leave or permanent disability.

The average age for all full-time engineers was calculated to be 42 years-old (as of December 1, 2000). The average age for female engineers employed full-time was 34 , for male engineers 42.

Almost one-third of the respondents have postgraduate degrees. Nineteen percent have a MASc, MEng or MESc degree. Over seven percent have earned an MBA. Five percent have attained a PhD in engineering and $3 \%$ have other types of postgraduate degrees.

Approximately half ( $48 \%$ ) of the sample work in the Greater Toronto area and over one-fifth are in Southwestern Ontario.

As represented in Figure 1, the distribution percentages for male and female engineers by major industry sector differed slightly. Working full-time in manufacturing was reported by $46 \%$ of males and $44 \%$ of females. Female engineers were more likely to work in consulting and nonmanufacturing than their male counterparts.

Table 1. Respondents' Employment Status Over 10 Survey Years (\%)

|  | 2000 | 1998 | 1996 | 1995 | 1994 | 1993 | 1992 | 1991 | 1990 | 1989 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Full-time (permanent) | 89.2 | 85.4 | 86.0 | 88.7 | 86.9 | 77.5 | 79.0 | 80.8 | 83.3 | 81.8 |
| Full-time (contract, temporary) | 1.8 | 2.6 | 3.1 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Self-employed | 7.0 | 7.9 | 6.9 | 7.2 | 6.5 | 5.8 | 4.9 | 5.0 | 4.4 | 5.0 |
| Unemployed | 0.6 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 2.7 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 0.9 | 0.7 |
| Part-time | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.5 |
| Student | 0.2 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Other | 0.6 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 3.8 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0.7 |
| Retired | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 11.6 | 11 | 10.3 | 9.3 | 11.3 |

Figure 1. Employment Distribution by Industry and Gender (Full-Time Employment Status)


Table 2. Salary Growth \& Consumer Price Index, 1989-2000
(Percentage change between survey years)

| Survey Year | 2000 | 1998 | 1996 | 1995 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 4}$ | 1993 | 1992 | 1991 | 1990 | 1989 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| \% Increase in <br> Overall Median Salary | 12.8 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.7 | 1.7 | 4.2 | 3.5 | 5.5 |
| CPI - Canada <br> (1986 = 100) | 4.5 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 0.3 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 6.7 | 5.5 | 5.4 |

Annual Salaries by Year of Graduation

The median base salary for full-time engineers was $\$ 77,000$ as of December 1, 2000. The majority (80\%) of PEO members earn an annual base salary between $\$ 53,000$ and $\$ 114,000$.

This survey year's median is $12.8 \%$ higher than the median salary reported in 1998. Over this two-year period, the Consumer Price Index for Canada rose 4.5\%.

Engineering graduates from 1990 to 1998 received the largest increases, ranging from 17.7\% to 24.5\%. Above average increases are usually noted for the more recent graduates, because they are advancing more quickly through their careers to positions of greater responsibility during this period.

The second last data line in Table 3 (No Degree) represents respondents who entered the profession via the exam route. The salary statistics of this group are slightly below the overall earnings. Their reported median of $\$ 75,000$ is $\$ 2,000$ lower than the overall figure.

The salary data in Table 3 differs from the salaries reported in the June 1, 2000 Ontario Engineers' Salaries-Survey of Employers. This past year's employer survey stated the median base salary for all engineering positions was $\$ 74,119$. The entry level median salary in the 2000 PEO Survey of Employers was $\$ 46,692$.

Note: When comparing results from the two PEO salary surveys (Employer versus Membership), keep in mind the sampling differences. The three most important differences are stated in the FOREWORD on page 4.

Table 3. Annual Salaries by Year of Graduation
( Ontario data as of December 1, 2000 )

| Year of <br> Bachelor Graduation | No. of Engs. | Mean | Low <br> Decile | Low <br> Quartile | Median | High <br> Quartile | High Decile | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \% Incr. } \\ \text { 1998-2000 } \\ \text { Median } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Years | 7,503 | 81,647 | 53,000 | 63,000 | 77,000 | 92,500 | 114,000 | 12.8 |
| 2000 | 6 | 46,272 | 42,000 | 42,500 | 45,765 | 48,000 | 53,600 | - |
| 1999 | 66 | 47,459 | 36,000 | 40,000 | 46,000 | 50,000 | 62,000 | - |
| 1998 | 158 | 51,749 | 40,000 | 45,000 | 50,000 | 56,000 | 65,000 | 22.0 |
| 1997 | 193 | 54,686 | 42,000 | 47,400 | 52,250 | 57,800 | 69,000 | 24.4 |
| 1996 | 310 | 57,150 | 42,250 | 48,500 | 55,000 | 63,000 | 73,750 | 22.2 |
| 1995 | 288 | 59,934 | 45,000 | 50,000 | 58,500 | 65,500 | 75,000 | 24.5 |
| 1994 | 244 | 64,429 | 50,000 | 54,400 | 61,000 | 70,000 | 85,000 | 22.0 |
| 1993 | 223 | 67,227 | 50,000 | 59,100 | 64,000 | 72,000 | 81,200 | 23.1 |
| 1992 | 227 | 72,533 | 50,602 | 59,371 | 68,500 | 80,000 | 98,000 | 23.2 |
| 1991 | 218 | 71,967 | 55,000 | 60,000 | 69,398 | 78,000 | 92,000 | 18.6 |
| 1990 | 238 | 74,575 | 55,000 | 64,000 | 71,000 | 80,000 | 97,000 | 17.7 |
| 1989 | 209 | 77,845 | 55,000 | 65,000 | 72,500 | 84,000 | 100,000 | 14.4 |
| 1988 | 220 | 78,696 | 60,000 | 66,000 | 74,500 | 86,500 | 101,300 | 12.9 |
| 1987 | 246 | 80,941 | 60,000 | 66,300 | 76,338 | 88,800 | 104,000 | 14.4 |
| 1986 | 249 | 82,296 | 60,000 | 67,200 | 78,000 | 92,500 | 114,000 | 11.4 |
| 1985 | 256 | 83,439 | 60,000 | 69,054 | 77,894 | 90,000 | 110,000 | 13.7 |
| 1984 | 238 | 84,408 | 60,000 | 68,500 | 80,970 | 92,500 | 116,000 | 12.5 |
| 1983 | 215 | 88,000 | 61,000 | 72,000 | 83,000 | 100,000 | 122,000 | 15.8 |
| 1982 | 223 | 87,324 | 62,500 | 70,800 | 82,715 | 95,000 | 121,200 | 10.3 |
| 1981 | 214 | 85,127 | 62,000 | 70,000 | 80,000 | 92,800 | 116,400 | 6.7 |
| 1980 | 221 | 90,553 | 65,977 | 74,000 | 85,130 | 103,000 | 120,000 | 12.2 |
| 1979 | 202 | 89,302 | 64,200 | 73,000 | 82,000 | 98,000 | 125,000 | 6.2 |
| 1978 | 188 | 88,830 | 62,600 | 75,288 | 84,150 | 98,150 | 115,000 | 6.0 |
| 1977 | 191 | 94,223 | 66,000 | 74,114 | 84,780 | 102,000 | 120,000 | 7.3 |
| 1976 | 175 | 92,896 | 67,000 | 73,840 | 86,000 | 103,000 | 126,000 | 10.3 |
| 1975 | 203 | 97,911 | 68,000 | 78,000 | 89,000 | 105,540 | 140,000 | 11.3 |
| 1974 | 182 | 88,918 | 63,264 | 75,000 | 84,600 | 97,000 | 115,000 | 6.6 |
| 1973 | 166 | 93,967 | 66,000 | 79,600 | 90,000 | 105,000 | 124,000 | 4.7 |
| 1972 | 177 | 93,999 | 65,000 | 75,589 | 86,818 | 103,000 | 120,000 | 3.4 |
| 1971 | 169 | 93,277 | 67,920 | 78,000 | 89,000 | 103,000 | 126,000 | 9.4 |
| 1970 | 176 | 96,775 | 67,200 | 76,000 | 86,256 | 100,100 | 130,000 | 6.1 |
| 1969 | 129 | 94,288 | 67,300 | 80,000 | 90,000 | 105,000 | 125,000 | 6.1 |
| 1968 | 139 | 96,508 | 70,000 | 79,000 | 90,000 | 105,269 | 129,000 | 9.0 |
| 1967 | 107 | 100,965 | 68,760 | 79,000 | 92,000 | 112,500 | 147,500 | 5.4 |
| 1966 | 85 | 105,472 | 70,000 | 86,000 | 100,000 | 120,000 | 150,000 | 12.3 |
| 1965 | 90 | 104,284 | 68,900 | 78,800 | 92,334 | 115,000 | 150,000 | 8.6 |
| 1964 \& Earlier | 279 | 98,101 | 69,300 | 80,000 | 92,000 | 106,000 | 130,000 | 6.5 |
| No Degree | 254 | 77,387 | 53,000 | 63,000 | 75,000 | 90,000 | 104,000 | 5.6 |
| Not Reported | 129 | 85,000 | 53,000 | 62,400 | 71,500 | 85,000 | 120,000 | 2.1 |

Comparison of Salaries for Male and Female Engineers

The most meaningful way to compare salaries for male and female engineers is to use data from the graduating years where the response is highest and consequently most reliable. Table 4 provides comparative salaries for men and women who graduated from 1978 to 1999.

In this 22 -year group, women comprised $11.5 \%$ of the overall sample. The median age in this cohort group was 32 years for women and 37 years for men.

Education levels (highest degree obtained) were similar for both genders. The base work week was only slightly longer for men ( 38.7 hours per week versus 38.5 hours for women). The average hours reported for actual work week was higher for men than for women (46.8 versus 45.2).

The median salary for women in this sample was $\$ 62,437$, compared to $\$ 72,000$ for men in the same graduating years. The difference $(\$ 9,563)$ represents a $13.3 \%$ wage gap for female engineers.

The salary maturity curves in Figure 2 show median salaries for men and women. The median salaries for females exceeded those of males in three graduating years 1991, 1988 \& 1996. In two graduating years (1996 \& 1990) medians were equal.

Females who graduated in 1999 receive $\$ 42,000$ as a median base salary. This dollar figure is $10.6 \%$ lower than the amount earned by males of the same graduating year. Look to the graduation years of 1982 and 1980 in Table 4 to see wage gaps of $15.4 \%$ \& $11.4 \%$ respectively.

The wage gap can be influenced by a number of factors, including industry sector, age and where individuals stand in the organization's hierarchy. A seniority analysis indicates that $55 \%$ of males are in the senior responsibility levels (D, E, F and $\mathrm{F}+$ ) compared to only $36 \%$ of females. Refer to Figure 4 on page 9 for a more detailed breakdown of seniority.

When comparing this year's results to previous survey years, it appears the wage gap between male and female engineers is increasing. The 2000 salary survey calculates the wage gap at 13.3\%. In the 1998 PEO Survey of Members, female graduates from a 20 -year graduating span (1979 to 1998) reported base salaries that were $11.4 \%$ lower in comparison with male counterparts. The 1996 findings reported the gender wage gap to be at $9.3 \%$.

One of the reasons for this increase appears to be the greater age difference in the cohort groups. The age differences per survey have been 1996 (three years), 1998 (four years) and 2000 (five years).

Additional data analysis is included on the opposite page in an attempt to identify other possible contributing factors to the widening wage gap. Please refer to page 9 for the results by responsibility level and major industry sector.

Table 4. Comparison of Salaries for Male and Female Engineers
( Graduating Years 1978 to 1999 )

MALE

| Year of Bachelor Graduation | No. of Engs. | Mean | Median | No. of Engs. | Mean | Median | Wage Gap Median \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1999 | 51 | 48,950 | 47,000 | 15 | 42,388 | 42,000 | 10.6 |
| 1998 | 114 | 52,252 | 50,050 | 42 | 49,524 | 49,400 | 1.3 |
| 1997 | 145 | 56,150 | 54,000 | 44 | 49,534 | 49,664 | 8.0 |
| 1996 | 259 | 56,962 | 55,000 | 48 | 58,361 | 55,000 | 0.0 |
| 1995 | 238 | 60,064 | 59,000 | 48 | 59,582 | 58,000 | 1.7 |
| 1994 | 203 | 65,173 | 61,525 | 39 | 60,152 | 57,000 | 7.4 |
| 1993 | 192 | 67,832 | 65,000 | 30 | 62,760 | 60,500 | 6.9 |
| 1992 | 200 | 73,156 | 69,529 | 27 | 67,921 | 64,200 | 7.7 |
| 1991 | 191 | 72,235 | 69,133 | 26 | 70,073 | 69,500 | -0.5 |
| 1990 | 207 | 74,680 | 71,000 | 28 | 74,312 | 71,000 | 0.0 |
| 1989 | 193 | 78,595 | 73,000 | 15 | 69,048 | 70,060 | 4.0 |
| 1988 | 197 | 78,748 | 74,000 | 23 | 78,252 | 75,000 | -1.4 |
| 1987 | 221 | 81,959 | 77,000 | 23 | 70,975 | 70,000 | 9.1 |
| 1986 | 219 | 82,276 | 78,000 | 29 | 82,938 | 78,800 | -1.0 |
| 1985 | 234 | 84,006 | 78,250 | 22 | 77,404 | 71,000 | 9.3 |
| 1984 | 215 | 84,642 | 81,000 | 20 | 80,774 | 76,500 | 5.6 |
| 1983 | 194 | 88,375 | 83,000 | 20 | 84,256 | 81,100 | 2.3 |
| 1982 | 205 | 88,303 | 84,000 | 17 | 74,893 | 70,625 | 15.9 |
| 1981 | 206 | 85,533 | 80,000 | 7 | 76,791 | 76,000 | 5.0 |
| 1980 | 207 | 91,243 | 85,300 | 13 | 79,429 | 75,540 | 11.4 |
| 1979 | 191 | 89,812 | 82,000 | 11 | 80,432 | 80,000 | 2.4 |
| 1978 | 174 | 89,799 | 85,000 | 11 | 77,459 | 77,000 | 9.4 |
| OVERALL (78-99) | 4,256 | 76,360 | 72,000 | 558 | 66,270 | 62,437 | 13.3 |

Figure 2. Median Base Salary Statistics by Gender Graduating Years 1978 to 1999


Figures 3 and 4, plus other tables within this report, refer to results by engineering responsibility level. The Classification Guide, which defines the seven levels, was not published within this report. There are three easy ways to obtain a copy of the Classification Guide. Using the internet, access the following site: www.peo.on.ca/engpractice/salsurv.html

The second option is to reference the September/October issue of Engineering Dimensions, the guide is included with the 2000 PEO Survey of Employers. Otherwise, call PEO's Publications Desk and a copy will be faxed or mailed to you.

For the graduating years of 1978 to 1999, the median salaries for male and female engineers differed at all responsibility levels. Only in Level A did the median salary for females exceed that of males.

Below are the actual median statistics used in Figure 3. The levels marked (**) have low numbers of female observations (16, 15 \& 5 respectively). Results should only be considered as indicators.

| LEVEL | Males | Females <br> Gap |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| A $^{* *}$ | $\$ 42,000$ | $\$ 45,000$ | $-7.1 \%$ |
| B | $\$ 50,000$ | $\$ 46,650$ | $6.7 \%$ |
| C | $\$ 60,180$ | $\$ 57,000$ | $5.3 \%$ |
| D | $\$ 72,000$ | $\$ 67,000$ | $6.9 \%$ |
| E $^{* *}$ | $\$ 83,000$ | $\$ 77,500$ | $6.6 \%$ |
| F $^{* *}$ | $\$ 93,000$ | $\$ 85,000$ | $8.6 \%$ |
| F $^{* *}$ | $\$ 120,000$ | $\$ 100,000$ | $16.7 \%$ |

Figure 4 presents the distribution of the 22 -year cohort of recent graduates by responsibility level and gender. As mentioned on page 8, only $36 \%$ of females report working in the top four responsibility levels, compared to $55 \%$ of males. One factor contributing to this difference is that the selected females were five years younger than the males.

Salary comparisons by major industry sector are presented in Table 5. When comparing median base salaries, the wage gaps were lower in non-manufacturing ( $\$ 3,500$ or $4.5 \%$ ), government ( $\$ 4,400$ or $6.4 \%$ ) and utilities ( $\$ 5,250$ or $6.6 \%$ ).

The largest difference was noted in the construction sector where female engineers reported base salaries that were $27.5 \%$ lower than their male co-workers. Women employed full-time by consulting firms earn $\$ 12,249$ less than men who work for the same organizations - an $18.6 \%$ wage gap.

Examining the total cash compensation statistics for this cohort group reveals even larger differences. Male engineers reported total cash earnings of \$79,000 (median). The median figure for female engineers was $\$ 12,800$ lower at $\$ 66,200$. While the salary wage gap stands at $13.3 \%$, there is a $19.3 \%$ gap in total cash compensation.

Figure 3. Median Salaries by Responsibility Level and Gender Graduating Years 1978 to 1999


Figure 4. Distribution by Responsibility Level and Gender Graduating Years 1978 to 1999


Table 5. Annual Salaries by Major Industry Sector and Gender
( Graduating Years 1978 to 1999 )

MALE

| Major Industry <br> Sector | No. of Engs. | Mean | Median | No. of Engs. | Mean | Median | Wage Gap Median \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Utilities | 269 | 80,126 | 79,000 | 32 | 73,893 | 73,750 | 6.6 |
| Non-Manufacturing | 662 | 83,293 | 78,000 | 97 | 76,968 | 74,500 | 4.5 |
| Manufacturing | 2,155 | 76,911 | 72,000 | 244 | 66,629 | 63,500 | 11.8 |
| Education | 81 | 74,308 | 71,500 | 14 | 63,754 | 64,500 | 9.8 |
| Government | 252 | 68,846 | 69,000 | 50 | 65,543 | 64,600 | 6.4 |
| Construction | 169 | 71,800 | 67,200 | 14 | 51,400 | 48,750 | 27.5 |
| Consulting | 625 | 70,167 | 66,000 | 103 | 56,342 | 53,751 | 18.6 |

## Annual Salaries by <br> Responsibility Level

Table 6 reports the salary increases since the 1998 survey according to the seven levels of job responsibility.

Note: The PEO website provides definitions for each of the engineering responsibility levels.

Engineers and EITs in the junior level (Level A) now make a median salary of $\$ 44,000$; an $11.4 \%$ rise from the 1998 survey findings. The $80 \%$ spread from low to high decile is $\$ 35,000$ to $\$ 55,000$.

The largest median increase is found in Level B. The 1998 base salary of $\$ 43,355$ increase by $15.3 \%$ in the year 2000.

Level $D$ engineers, who are the first level of sustained supervision over other engineers, reported an increase of $9.4 \%$ and now earn $\$ 73,265$ as a median base salary.

Engineers working in Level F+ reported a median base salary of $\$ 120,000$.

Average Compensation by Pay
Component \& Responsibility Level
Table 7 states the frequency and mean amounts of additional cash engineers receive to supplement their base salary. While not all engineers receive them, bonuses, profit sharing, commissions and overtime are a growing and significant part of "take home" pay for many.

Using Level C as an example, $37 \%$ of the respondents received an average bonus of $\$ 4,154 ; 24 \%$ received $\$ 8,046$ in overtime and $20 \%$ were paid an average of $\$ 4,512$ related to profit sharing, in addition to a base salary of $\$ 62,550$. The mean total cash compensation for Level C engineers was $\$ 67,846$ or $8.5 \%$ above base salary.

Additional cash payments do not include deferred profit sharing plan contributions, car allowances or fringe benefits.

The "Average Total Cash Compensation" figures are weighted averages, since only a portion of respondents at each level report receiving additional cash payments. The weighted average measures the combined effect of the additional cash payments on the overall sample population at each level.

Table 6. Annual Salaries by Responsibility Level
( Comparison December 1, 1998 and December 1, 2000 )

| Responsibility Level | No. of Engs. | Mean | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Low } \\ \text { Decile } \end{array}$ | Low Quartile | Median | High Quartile | High Decile |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Levels Combined |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec 2000 | 7,503 | 81,647 | 53,000 | 63,000 | 77,000 | 92,500 | 114,000 |
| Dec 1998 | 6,041 | 72,254 | 44,000 | 54,990 | 68,273 | 83,400 | 100,000 |
| \% Increase |  | 13.0 | 20.5 | 14.6 | 12.8 | 10.9 | 14.0 |
| Level A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec 2000 | 53 | 43,958 | 35,000 | 38,000 | 44,000 | 49,500 | 55,000 |
| Dec 1998 | 161 | 39,139 | 32,000 | 35,000 | 39,500 | 42,420 | 45,760 |
| \% Increase |  | 12.3 | 9.4 | 8.6 | 11.4 | 16.7 | 20.2 |
| Level B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec 2000 | 333 | 49,739 | 38,480 | 44,000 | 50,000 | 55,000 | 60,000 |
| Dec 1998 | 473 | 44,005 | 35,000 | 39,000 | 43,355 | 48,000 | 54,000 |
| \% Increase |  | 13.0 | 9.9 | 12.8 | 15.3 | 14.6 | 11.1 |
| Level C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec 2000 | 1,423 | 62,550 | 48,000 | 54,000 | 60,600 | 69,600 | 79,848 |
| Dec 1998 | 1,201 | 56,731 | 43,000 | 48,750 | 55,000 | 63,100 | 72,592 |
| \% Increase |  | 10.3 | 11.6 | 10.8 | 10.2 | 10.3 | 10.0 |
| Level D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec 2000 | 1,706 | 75,269 | 59,900 | 65,000 | 73,265 | 82,200 | 92,500 |
| Dec 1998 | 1,249 | 68,119 | 54,000 | 60,000 | 67,000 | 75,000 | 84,000 |
| \% Increase |  | 10.5 | 10.9 | 8.3 | 9.4 | 9.6 | 10.1 |
| Level E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec 2000 | 1,756 | 87,057 | 67,500 | 75,000 | 85,000 | 95,000 | 108,800 |
| Dec 1998 | 1,270 | 80,707 | 65,000 | 71,000 | 80,000 | 88,234 | 98,970 |
| \% Increase |  | 7.9 | 3.8 | 5.6 | 6.3 | 7.7 | 9.9 |
| Level F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec 2000 | 724 | 101,094 | 77,000 | 85,000 | 97,118 | 110,000 | 128,520 |
| Dec 1998 | 501 | 91,714 | 70,000 | 80,000 | 90,000 | 100,000 | 115,000 |
| \% Increase |  | 10.2 | 10.0 | 6.3 | 7.9 | 10.0 | 11.8 |
| Level F+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec 2000 | 344 | 128,146 | 85,500 | 100,000 | 120,000 | 145,000 | 183,000 |
| Dec 1998 | 256 | 122,214 | 82,000 | 95,000 | 111,870 | 140,000 | 175,000 |
| \% Increase |  | 4.9 | 4.3 | 5.3 | 7.3 | 3.6 | 4.6 |
| Not Reported |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec 2000 | 1,164 | 91,186 | 55,000 | 68,500 | 82,316 | 102,600 | 135,000 |
| Dec 1998 | 930 | 82,176 | 45,000 | 57,200 | 71,000 | 91,800 | 120,450 |
| \% Increase |  | 11.0 | 22.2 | 19.8 | 15.9 | 11.8 | 12.1 |

Table 7. Average Compensation by Pay Component and Responsibility Level

| Component | Level A |  | Level B |  | Level C |  | Level D |  | Level E |  | Level F |  | Level F+ |  | Not Reported |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# | \$ | \# | \$ | \# | \$ | \# | \$ | \# | \$ | \# | \$ | \# | \$ | \# | \$ |
| Base Salary | 53 | 43,958 | 333 | 49,739 | 1,423 | 62,550 | 1,706 | 75,269 | 1,756 | 87,057 | 724 | 101,094 | 344 | 128,146 | 1,164 | 91,186 |
| Cash Bonus | 13 | 3,754 | 92 | 3,041 | 521 | 4,154 | 687 | 6,304 | 798 | 10,815 | 366 | 18,542 | 199 | 44,770 | 551 | 24,859 |
| Profit Share | 7 | 1,254 | 64 | 2,360 | 287 | 4,512 | 348 | 5,718 | 333 | 9,147 | 139 | 20,795 | 65 | 49,552 | 223 | 13,432 |
| Commission | 2 | 7844 | 5 | 26,781 | 22 | 23,904 | 31 | 21,067 | 32 | 29,432 | 5 | 22,500 | 5 | 49,800 | 70 | 63,064 |
| Overtime ** | 16 | 3,290 | 96 | 6,081 | 344 | 8,046 | 337 | 8,664 | 180 | 9,950 | 29 | 11,263 | 5 | 19,200 | 79 | 9,242 |
| Consulting Fees |  |  |  |  | 11 | 14,409 | 28 | 7,772 | 34 | 13,984 | 21 | 13,719 | 11 | 19,114 | 30 | 35,267 |
| Other | 1 | 3,000 | 10 | 4,946 | 81 | 9,382 | 113 | 21,209 | 128 | 24,513 | 53 | 107,705 | 30 | 68,252 | 85 | 24,666 |
| Avg. Total Comp.* | 53 | 46,390 | 333 | 53,336 | 1,425 | 67,846 | 1,706 | 82,601 | 1,759 | 97,154 | 724 | 123,349 | 344 | 170,974 | 1,173 | 111,792 |
| Diff. from Base |  | 2,432 |  | 3,597 |  | 5,296 |  | 7,332 |  | 10,097 |  | 22,255 |  | 42,828 |  | 20,606 |
| \% of Base |  | 5.5 |  | 7.2 |  | 8.5 |  | 9.7 |  | 11.6 |  | 22.0 |  | 33.4 |  | 22.6 |

[^0]** Overtime component includes: Overtime as well as On-Call Pay and Shift Premiums

Additional/Total Cash
Compensation
Figure 5 illustrates the benefits of additional cash payments for Ontario engineers. Overall, the mean figure for total cash compensation was $\$ 94,182$ (or $15.4 \%$ above the base salary of $\$ 81,647$ ).

Below is a summary of total cash statistics reported by each responsibility level.

|  | Mean <br> Total <br> Comp. | \% Above <br> Mean Base <br> Salary |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| LEVEL | $\$ 46,390$ | $5.5 \%$ |
| A | $\$ 53,337$ | $7.2 \%$ |
| B | $\$ 67,845$ | $8.5 \%$ |
| C | $\$ 82,601$ | $9.7 \%$ |
| D | $\$ 97,154$ | $11.6 \%$ |
| E | $\$ 123,349$ | $22.0 \%$ |
| F | $\$ 170,975$ | $33.4 \%$ |
| F+ | $\$ 111,793$ | $22.6 \%$ |

Figure 6 plots the median statistics for base salary and total cash compensation according to year of bachelor degree. For 1999 graduates, the median base salary as of December 1, 2000 was $\$ 46,000$. Factor in the additional cash payments and their total cash compensation was $7.7 \%$ higher at a median of $\$ 49,550$. The highest median was calculated for 1966 graduates - \$100,000 for their base salary and $\$ 106,000$ in total cash.

Overall, engineers employed full-time reported a median figure of $\$ 83,000$ in total cash compensation.

## Compensation for SelfEmployed Members

This year's survey results indicate that approximately seven percent of PEO's active membership are self-employed.

The following demographic information represents 582 respondents who were self-employed as of December 1, 2000 : $98 \%$ were male engineers; the average age was 50; 37\% had postgraduate degrees; $50 \%$ worked in the Toronto area.

Over $7 \%$ of male respondents stated they were self-employed, compared to less than $2 \%$ of females. The average ages also differ: 51 for men and 41 for women.

Self-employed engineers were most likely to work in the consulting sector ( $42 \%$ ). Over $44 \%$ reported their work was largely or entirely related to engineering. Fifteen percent stated their work was in no way associated with engineering.

Although 582 PEO members stated they were self-employed, only 555 respondents provided compensation data. Table 8 illustrates the frequency and amounts of cash compensation as of December 1st.

The total average cash income earned was $\$ 124,855$; the median compensation was $\$ 100,000$. This year's median was much higher than in 1998 (14.2\% higher).

When compared to full-timers' total cash compensation, the mean for self-employed members was $33 \%$ higher and the median 20\% higher.

Figure 5. Base Salary plus Additional Cash Payments by Responsibility Level


Figure 6. Comparison of Median Salary Statistics
Annual Base Salary versus Total Cash Compensation


Table 8. Average Compensation Amounts for Self-Employed

| Component | 2000 Survey |  |  | 1998 Survey |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of Engs. | Mean | Median | No. of Engs. | Mean | Median | \% Incr. <br> Median |
| Base Salary | 373 | 98,871 | 80,000 | 339 | 81,654 | 75,000 | 6.7 |
| Cash Bonus | 103 | 52,706 | 25,000 | 94 | 56,367 | 20,000 | 25.0 |
| Profit Share | 88 | 79,938 | 45,000 | 63 | 68,284 | 30,000 | 50.0 |
| Commission | 22 | 77,886 | 62,500 | 20 | 88,114 | 68,300 | -8.5 |
| OT/On-Call Premiums | 5 | 14,540 | 10,400 | 3 | 7,667 | 5,000 | 108.0 |
| Consulting Fees | 175 | 91,110 | 80,000 | 180 | 80,681 | 70,000 | 14.3 |
| Other | 43 | 52,051 | 43,000 | 35 | 33,809 | 34,500 | 24.6 |
| Avg. Total Cash | 555 | 124,885 | 100,000 | 512 | 106,977 | 87,550 | 14.2 |

Annual Salaries by Industry
Table 9 reports the annual base salaries for 28 main industry sectors where professional engineers work.

Percentage increases vary widely from $2.4 \%$ to $50.6 \%$ over the 1998 median base salary results. Some of the higher increases may be due to differences in survey samples from year to year.

The highest median salary in all 28 categories is $\$ 97,000$ for the data processing sector in non-manufacturing. The lowest reported median is $\$ 68,243$ for metals manufacturing.

Within the manufacturing sector, PEO members who work for petroleum products companies earn the highest median salary at $\$ 90,000$. Second highest at $\$ 85,000$ is electronics \& electrical products engineers.

As already stated, the top earners in nonmanufacturing work in data processing organizations. The second highest median is computer systems development. The two lowest non-manufacturing medians are consulting engineering ( $\$ 71,450$ ) and construction ( $\$ 73,000$ ).

Within government and education, the educational institutions sector historically leads the field with the highest of the four medians. The 2000 survey results showed this trend once again. While municipal government engineers earn a median base salary of $\$ 70,000$, engineers in education earn $\$ 10,000$ more per annum.

Federal government engineers reported slightly higher salaries than their provincial government counterparts-\$75,621 and \$75,000 respectively.

## Major Industry Groupings

The proportion of engineers working in the manufacturing sector has remained relatively stable over the past 14 years. Percentages in manufacturing have ranged from 39\% up to this year's high of almost $46 \%$. The second largest group is consulting at just under $16 \%$ of responses.

The government sector continues to decrease and now represents just over nine percent of the membership. Only $2.4 \%$ of full-time engineers work within educational institutions

After regrouping the salary figures of the 28 industry categories down to seven major areas, engineers working for utility companies have the highest median salary of $\$ 82,715$. The lowest median base amount was reported in the construction industry $(\$ 73,000)$.

Table 9. Annual Salaries by Industry

| Industry | No. of Engs. | Mean | Low <br> Decile | Low Quartile | Median | High Quartile | High Decile | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \% Incr. } \\ \text { 1998-2000 } \\ \text { Median } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MANUFACTURING |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Petroleum Products | 157 | 92,547 | 57,720 | 68,000 | 90,000 | 105,540 | 132,000 | 11.1 |
| Electronics, Electrical Prods. | 727 | 89,918 | 56,250 | 68,000 | 85,000 | 105,000 | 127,000 | 17.4 |
| Food, Beverages, Tobacco | 85 | 85,734 | 56,000 | 66,700 | 83,000 | 99,900 | 116,000 | 16.9 |
| Chemical \& Pharmaceutical | 279 | 84,311 | 55,000 | 65,000 | 80,000 | 95,000 | 120,000 | 11.7 |
| Heavy Electrical | 80 | 77,807 | 58,500 | 66,000 | 75,513 | 88,056 | 97,380 | 2.4 |
| Plastics \& Rubber | 191 | 79,890 | 50,000 | 60,000 | 75,000 | 90,100 | 111,000 | 26.8 |
| Transportation Equipment | 538 | 78,612 | 55,000 | 62,400 | 74,000 | 87,000 | 106,831 | 13.8 |
| Pulp \& Paper, Wood Prods. | 110 | 75,730 | 49,550 | 60,000 | 72,000 | 87,000 | 98,000 | 7.5 |
| Other Manufacturing | 363 | 76,226 | 50,000 | 59,700 | 71,400 | 88,000 | 110,000 | 16.8 |
| Aerospace \& Aircraft Prods. | 276 | 75,984 | 53,500 | 58,000 | 71,210 | 88,460 | 107,500 | 16.1 |
| Machinery (ex. electrical) | 315 | 75,979 | 51,000 | 60,000 | 70,000 | 85,000 | 106,000 | 14.8 |
| Metals | 274 | 74,691 | 50,000 | 59,000 | 68,243 | 80,700 | 106,000 | 8.3 |
| NON-MANUFACTURING |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Data Processing | 36 | 101,091 | 60,000 | 76,800 | 97,000 | 120,000 | 140,000 | 30.2 |
| Computer Systems Dev. | 238 | 91,883 | 60,000 | 70,000 | 86,000 | 105,000 | 130,000 | 19.0 |
| Electrical Utilities | 409 | 86,697 | 69,504 | 75,500 | 84,240 | 93,184 | 107,100 | 6.2 |
| Consulting, Other | 189 | 92,786 | 51,000 | 63,000 | 84,000 | 100,000 | 135,000 | 8.1 |
| Petroleum | 24 | 83,429 | 57,200 | 73,600 | 82,070 | 95,500 | 102,600 | 50.6 |
| Communication Services | 249 | 93,544 | 60,000 | 73,400 | 82,000 | 100,000 | 120,000 | 12.7 |
| Utilities, Other | 176 | 81,131 | 60,000 | 70,000 | 80,000 | 90,000 | 100,000 | 8.1 |
| Mining | 153 | 86,474 | 59,000 | 65,500 | 78,000 | 96,000 | 125,000 | 8.3 |
| Other Non-Manufacturing | 309 | 86,290 | 50,000 | 64,769 | 78,000 | 100,000 | 132,000 | 10.3 |
| Transportation Services | 108 | 85,290 | 54,000 | 68,862 | 76,750 | 93,223 | 120,000 | 10.8 |
| Construction | 293 | 78,832 | 48,000 | 60,000 | 73,000 | 90,700 | 120,000 | 12.3 |
| Consulting Engineering | 968 | 74,674 | 45,000 | 55,600 | 71,450 | 87,390 | 105,000 | 19.1 |
| GOVERNMENT \& EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Educational Institutions | 181 | 81,093 | 59,785 | 65,000 | 80,000 | 93,905 | 105,000 | 14.3 |
| Federal Government | 373 | 76,338 | 59,000 | 66,000 | 75,621 | 85,000 | 91,000 | 12.0 |
| Provincial Government | 114 | 77,144 | 60,000 | 68,000 | 75,000 | 85,000 | 94,500 | 8.0 |
| Municipal Government | 206 | 72,199 | 56,000 | 62,000 | 70,000 | 80,499 | 96,000 | 3.9 |

Figure 7. Distribution by Major Industry Sectors plus Median Base Salaries


The two-year median increases in Table 10 range from $3.8 \%$ to $21.7 \%$. The highest increase is for engineers working within health and safety.

Two new principal function categories were added to this year's questionnaire consulting and regulatory/standards.

Engineers in production engineering earn the lowest median salary, $\$ 63,998$. Those primarily working in design reported a median base salary of $\$ 65,000$.

The highest median salary by job function $(\$ 100,000)$ is attributed to PEO members working in general management. Those working in engineering management earn a median of $\$ 86,000$ in base salary. Historically these are the top two earning categories - with general management's median always being above that of the engineering management. In 1998 the difference between the two categories was $\$ 10,000$. This year the wage gap has widen to $\$ 14,000$.

Typically, engineers in senior positions (i.e. levels $\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{F}, \mathrm{F}+$ ) receive a significant portion of their earned income from nonsalary cash payments. Refer to Table 7 on page 10 for more details related to additional pay components such as bonuses and profit sharing payments.

## Annual Salaries by Discipline

Table 11 provides the membership salary survey results by 12 distinct disciplines as well as a miscellaneous other category.

Graduates in the computer discipline achieved the highest median base salary. Second highest is nuclear at $\$ 83,500$.

The environmental discipline reported the lowest median income ( $\$ 61,000$ ).

The discipline "systems design" was a new addition to the 2000 questionnaire. Engineers from this discipline reported strong base salaries ( $\$ 81,000$ median) and placed in the fourth highest position.

The two-year survey rate increases in Table 11 range from 6.2\% to $22 \%$.

## Annual Salaries by Job Category

PEO members who work in positions largely related to engineering earn a median base salary of $\$ 73,540$. This is $\$ 16,460$ less than the $\$ 90,000$ median salary earned by members who held nonengineering positions.

Over half (52\%) of PEO members work in largely engineering related positions; 6\% work in jobs that are unrelated to engineering.

Table 10. Annual Salaries by Job Function

| Function | No. of Engs. | Mean | Low <br> Decile | Low Quartile | Median | High Quartile | High Decile | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \% Incr. } \\ \text { 1998-2000 } \\ \text { Median } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Management | 961 | 107,182 | 67,700 | 80,000 | 100,000 | 124,700 | 160,000 | 11.1 |
| Engineering Management | 1,232 | 90,485 | 65,977 | 75,000 | 86,000 | 100,000 | 120,000 | 7.5 |
| Teaching (University) | 106 | 84,625 | 63,000 | 71,500 | 85,000 | 96,000 | 105,000 | 10.5 |
| Health \& Safety | 48 | 82,437 | 56,000 | 74,295 | 82,750 | 86,500 | 102,000 | 21.7 |
| Administration | 100 | 86,985 | 59,220 | 68,534 | 82,250 | 101,450 | 120,000 | 10.4 |
| Marketing/Sales | 420 | 83,554 | 52,750 | 66,000 | 80,000 | 98,000 | 117,778 | 11.1 |
| Computer Services/Systems | 271 | 82,048 | 57,000 | 66,700 | 80,000 | 93,096 | 112,000 | 17.6 |
| Research \& Development | 510 | 81,782 | 53,550 | 65,000 | 77,581 | 93,000 | 113,000 | 16.8 |
| Other Non-Engineering | 99 | 81,491 | 45,000 | 65,000 | 76,000 | 95,000 | 125,000 | 3.8 |
| Planning | 134 | 84,793 | 56,000 | 63,000 | 75,750 | 86,000 | 103,080 | 16.5 |
| Maintenance Engineering | 187 | 73,454 | 55,000 | 62,900 | 74,000 | 82,000 | 90,000 | 8.8 |
| Consulting | 535 | 78,505 | 46,000 | 58,000 | 73,000 | 90,000 | 110,000 | n/a |
| Regulatory/Standards | 147 | 77,295 | 50,800 | 61,500 | 73,000 | 83,000 | 92,000 | n/a |
| Other Engineering | 144 | 74,280 | 50,000 | 60,945 | 72,000 | 85,000 | 95,680 | 20.0 |
| Teaching (Other) | 48 | 70,076 | 50,000 | 62,000 | 71,000 | 73,000 | 84,000 | 12.6 |
| Instrumentation/Control | 114 | 71,705 | 54,000 | 60,948 | 70,000 | 82,000 | 90,000 | 11.5 |
| Quality Assurance | 190 | 70,423 | 48,385 | 58,000 | 70,000 | 83,000 | 93,360 | 21.0 |
| Project Engineering | 1,066 | 70,127 | 50,000 | 59,000 | 68,612 | 80,000 | 90,376 | 14.4 |
| Environmental/Pollution | 155 | 68,858 | 44,000 | 55,000 | 65,755 | 80,000 | 95,000 | 6.8 |
| Design | 635 | 67,275 | 46,000 | 54,080 | 65,000 | 79,000 | 90,000 | 20.0 |
| Production Engineering | 372 | 67,487 | 49,500 | 56,000 | 63,998 | 76,900 | 88,140 | 13.9 |

Table 11. Annual Salaries by Discipline

| Engineering Field/ <br> Discipline | No. of Engs. | Mean | Low <br> Decile | Low Quartile | Median | High Quartile | High Decile | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \% Incr. } \\ \text { 1998-2000 } \\ \text { Median } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Computer | 249 | 90,885 | 61,000 | 73,400 | 86,000 | 104,000 | 120,000 | 22.0 |
| Nuclear | 129 | 86,298 | 65,000 | 74,200 | 83,500 | 94,000 | 108,000 | 6.2 |
| Electrical, Electronics | 1,503 | 87,926 | 59,800 | 70,000 | 82,000 | 98,000 | 120,000 | 12.2 |
| Systems Design | 92 | 90,244 | 65,000 | 70,000 | 81,000 | 102,500 | 126,000 | n/a |
| Other | 177 | 84,936 | 52,860 | 65,000 | 78,987 | 98,000 | 129,000 | 17.9 |
| Chemical | 798 | 83,246 | 54,480 | 63,500 | 77,311 | 95,000 | 116,900 | 13.7 |
| Metallurgical, Materials, Mining | 301 | 83,761 | 56,000 | 63,000 | 77,250 | 94,000 | 120,000 | 9.8 |
| Aeronautical, Aerospace | 230 | 78,641 | 55,000 | 63,500 | 76,155 | 90,000 | 101,500 | 14.6 |
| Mechanical, Industrial | 2,255 | 79,807 | 53,400 | 62,476 | 75,000 | 89,000 | 109,000 | 11.9 |
| Geological, Geotechnical | 122 | 74,515 | 41,500 | 53,006 | 72,500 | 90,000 | 106,000 | 12.0 |
| Civil, Structural | 1,392 | 76,996 | 47,500 | 60,000 | 72,000 | 88,900 | 110,000 | 10.8 |
| Biomedical, Biological | 30 | 78,203 | 50,000 | 60,000 | 70,781 | 97,500 | 112,500 | 11.8 |
| Environmental | 215 | 66,359 | 42,000 | 50,000 | 61,000 | 79,500 | 95,000 | 8.8 |

Table 12. Annual Salaries by Job Category

| Category | No. of Engs. | Mean | Low <br> Decile | Low <br> Quartile | Median | $\begin{array}{r} \text { High } \\ \text { Quartile } \end{array}$ | High Decile | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \% Incr. } \\ \text { 1998-2000 } \\ \text { Median } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Not Associated with Engineering | 453 | 99,590 | 60,000 | 75,000 | 90,000 | 112,000 | 150,000 | 12.5 |
| Associated with Engineering | 3,157 | 85,162 | 55,000 | 66,000 | 80,000 | 96,000 | 120,000 | 12.7 |
| Largely Engineering | 3,891 | 76,709 | 51,000 | 60,000 | 73,540 | 87,200 | 104,000 | 13.1 |

## Annual Salaries by Region

Refer to Table 13 for detailed findings based on geographical regions of respondents. Each PEO membership salary survey in recent history concludes that engineers who work outside of the province earn more than those who stay within Ontario. As of December 1, 2000 the median base salary for PEO members working out of the province was $\$ 88,941$.

Ottawa and Toronto tend to alternate as the second and third highest medians. This year the Greater Ottawa region is second and Greater Toronto third. Ottawa engineers report a median of \$80,532 slightly above Toronto's $\$ 78,800$ median. Figure 8 assists in visualizing the differences in median salaries by geographic work region.

Annual Salaries by Size of Organization

Engineers working for larger organizations of over 500 employees make $\$ 9,504$ more than those working for companies with 25 or fewer employees. Table 14 indicates members employed by the larger organizations earn annual base salaries of $\$ 79,504$ (median). Employees in the smaller organizations reported \$70,000 as their median base salary.

The gap between large and small appears to be closing. Back in 1996, the membership salary survey calculated the difference between salaries paid by smallest versus largest employers to be $\$ 14,000$. In the 1998 survey, the difference was $\$ 10,000$. For the 2000 survey year, the gap has decreased again.

Annual Salaries by Highest Degree
Table 15 demonstrates the financial advantages of higher education. The value of an MBA - BEng combination adds $\$ 15,000$ to the median salary of the bachelor degree holder. Members with an MBA earn a median base salary of $\$ 90,000$. The mean salary statistics show an even stronger difference of $\$ 21,576$.

Engineers with a PhD in engineering reported base salary earnings of $\$ 85,000$ (median), compared to those with a bachelor degree who received $\$ 75,000$.

In the 1996 Membership Salary Survey, PhD graduates had the highest median base salaries. The 1998 and 2000 salary surveys have both reported that an MBA appears to have a stronger effect on engineers' salaries.

While it is true that higher education does pay off in the long run, members with postgraduate degrees usually have to work 10-15 years before their academic investment pays off.

Table 13. Annual Salaries by Geographic Region

| Work Region | No. of Engs. | Mean | Low <br> Decile | Low Quartile | Median | High Quartile | High Decile | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \% Incr. } \\ \text { 1998-2000 } \\ \text { Median } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Out of Province | 183 | 95,071 | 54,000 | 69,700 | 88,941 | 112,500 | 140,000 | 14.0 |
| Greater Ottawa | 1,047 | 85,590 | 58,000 | 68,000 | 80,532 | 99,600 | 120,000 | 15.0 |
| Greater Toronto | 3,598 | 83,801 | 52,600 | 63,500 | 78,800 | 95,000 | 120,000 | 12.6 |
| Eastern Ontario | 508 | 78,315 | 55,000 | 65,000 | 75,932 | 88,250 | 103,000 | 9.0 |
| Central Ontario | 197 | 74,815 | 50,000 | 60,000 | 74,000 | 85,000 | 98,000 | 5.7 |
| Southwestern Ontario | 1,627 | 76,078 | 51,164 | 60,000 | 72,072 | 86,750 | 103,153 | 10.9 |
| Northern Ontario | 326 | 75,272 | 50,500 | 60,000 | 72,000 | 84,552 | 99,700 | 9.9 |

Figure 8. Median Annual Base Salaries by Region


Table 14. Annual Salaries by Size of Organization

| Size of Employer Organization (In Canada) | No. of Engs. | Mean | Low <br> Decile | Low <br> Quartile | Median | High Quartile | High Decile | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \% Incr. } \\ \text { 1998-2000 } \\ \text { Median } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Over 500 Employees | 4,348 | 83,704 | 57,000 | 67,000 | 79,504 | 94,000 | 113,822 | 12.0 |
| 101-500 Employees | 1,653 | 79,786 | 50,000 | 60,000 | 74,500 | 90,000 | 115,000 | 13.7 |
| 26-100 Employees | 883 | 78,192 | 47,840 | 58,000 | 72,000 | 90,000 | 115,000 | 12.7 |
| 2-25 Employees | 564 | 76,450 | 43,500 | 55,000 | 70,000 | 88,875 | 115,000 | 16.7 |

Table 15. Annual Salaries by Highest Degree

| Highest Degree <br> Obtained | No. of Engs. | Mean | Low <br> Decile | Low Quartile | Median | High Quartile | High Decile | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \% Incr. } \\ \text { 1998-2000 } \\ \text { Median } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MBA | 558 | 100,070 | 66,000 | 75,877 | 90,000 | 115,000 | 149,040 | 8.4 |
| PhD (Engineering) | 345 | 91,644 | 61,000 | 70,000 | 85,000 | 103,000 | 130,000 | 8.0 |
| Other | 200 | 86,936 | 55,310 | 66,000 | 79,800 | 95,067 | 111,500 | 10.4 |
| MASc, MEng, MESc | 1,424 | 82,240 | 54,000 | 64,397 | 78,000 | 92,450 | 114,000 | 8.3 |
| BASc, BEng, BESc | 4,953 | 78,494 | 51,000 | 61,500 | 75,000 | 90,000 | 108,600 | 15.4 |

## Benefits

The proportion of members eligible for those company benefits listed in Table 16 has not changed significantly from previous survey years. Slightly over 95\% of members are covered by a drug plan; over $90 \%$ are eligible for long-term disability and $93 \%$ have group life benefits. Over $82 \%$ also have vision care programs.

Over three-quarters of PEO members participate in company pension plans.

## Working Conditions

Sixteen percent of PEO members who are employed full-time are covered by a collective bargaining agreement.

In 1998, 34.5\% of respondents were compensated for overtime. This year's result is slightly lower at $32.1 \%$. Nine percent get a credit toward time off and the remainder receive cash compensation. Table 7 provides statistics on overtime payments reported by engineers at each responsibility level.

Over $41 \%$ stated that their employers pays their PEO annual license fee - slightly higher than the 1998 result of $38 \%$.

The base work week for $51 \%$ of members was 40 hours. The base work week averaged 38.4 hours in 1998. This year's average is up by only a small fraction.

The actual hours worked is at an average of 46.5 hours per week. It is interesting to note that $38 \%$ of respondents reported that they work 50 or more hours a week.

## Hourly Rates for Contract Engineers

A number of members are employed by organizations on a contract basis. A guideline (Table 17) has been prepared to assist engineers in establishing rates to be charged for professional services while working exclusively for one employer, on the employer's premises, with set working hours and vacation and statutory holiday pay (but not employee benefits).

For the purpose of this guideline, benefits are assumed to be $15 \%$ of salary.

This formula does not apply to engineers who are working out of their own offices and must bear the overhead costs associated with accommodation rental, equipment costs and various other operating and maintenance costs.

Similarly, it does not apply to engineering consulting companies, which use chargeout rates for various levels of professional staff working on a client's project. Nor does it apply to engineers who are furnished by an agency where the agency is paid for the services.

Note: To find out about engineering consulting fee rates, refer to PEO's Schedule of Fees for Engineering Services. This guideline is available on PEO's website under Professional Guidelines.

Table 16. Benefits and Working Conditions


Table 17. Guideline for Hourly Rates for Contract Engineers

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Annual salary from salary tables } \times 1.15 \\
\hline \text { Annual working hours }(7.5 \times 5 \times 52=1950)
\end{gathered}
$$

## Conclusion

Unemployment among PEO members has fallen below the one percent point. From a high of $2.7 \%$ reported in 1993, the engineering unemployment rate is now at its lowest level of the past twelve years.

In the two-year period since the last PEO Membership Salary Survey was conducted, the Consumer Price Index for Canada rose by $4.5 \%$. As of December 1, 2000, PEO members earned a median base salary of $\$ 77,000$. This represents a $12.8 \%$ increase over the 1998 survey results.

Seven percent of professional engineers in Ontario are self-employed. By working for themselves, they are more likely to earn higher incomes. Engineers who are self-employed report an average total cash compensation of $\$ 124,885$. This mean figure is $33 \%$ higher than total cash amounts reported by full-time employees $(\$ 94,182)$.

Overtime compensation is paid to one out of three engineers working full-time. Almost $90 \%$ of PEO members are permanent employees and the majority are not paid for overtime. Unlike self-employed professionals and those who bill clients on a time basis, most engineers work extra hours (an average of eight overtime hours a week) without compensation. The value of this donated time represents significant benefit to their employers and to Ontario's economy.

The salary-gender wage gap has increased again - for the third survey in a row. An 8\% gap was reported in 1995. In 1996, 1998 and 2000, the wage gaps increased to $9 \%, 11 \%$ and $13 \%$ respectively. For the 2000 survey year, a 22 -year cohort group was examined - those who graduated between 1978 and 1999. The median age for males in this group was five years older than that of females ( 37 years old versus 32 ). The age gap is a contributing factor to the wage gap as the median ages in 1995-1996 were three years apart and the 1998 difference was four years.

ABOUT THE SURVEY CONSULTANT - Janet Dalton is an Independent Research Consultant with over 15 years of experience in research and database analysis. She has been instrumental in several major compensation studies throughout her career. Her portfolio includes ten years of involvement in PEO's Employer and Membership Salary Surveys. She has also conducted numerous studies within the engineering and technology industry sectors for organizations such as CSA International and OACETT.
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[^0]:    * Average total compensation is the average total income reported by all respondents, not the sum of averages for each component

