Toward Socialist America

An Analysis of America's slide into Collectivism Communism, fascism, and Nazism are all major variants of the underlying ideology called <u>Collectivism</u>



By Robert D. Gorgoglione gorgoglione.robert@gmail.com

The American people will never knowingly adopt Socialism; but under the name of liberalism, they will adopt every fragment of the Socialist program until America will one day be a Socialist nation without knowing how it happened.

Norman Thomas six-time Socialist Party candidate for President

Americans may not be willing to vote for a program under the name of "socialism," but put it under another party label – whether liberal Republican or Democrat – and they're by and large in favor of the idea....

Earl Browder, General Secretary of the Communist Party USA

1995 - Revised April 2008

Call <u>1-208-357-4294</u>

The State is all!

"Every man's life is at the call of the nation and so must be every man's property. We are living today in a highly organized state of socialism. The state is all; the individual is of importance only as he contributes to the welfare of the state. His property is his only as the State does not need it. He must hold his life and possessions at the call of the State."

Bernard Baruch, August, 1918 Advisor to Franklin Delano Roosevelt's New Deal.

"Everything for the State, nothing outside the State, *Nothing above the State*!"

Benito Mussolini, Fascist dictator of Italy

WHAT IS SOCIALISM (Collectivism)? Economic control of people by government. See - Part 1: The Chasm

Since there are several major variants of Socialism, it is important to begin with a good definition:

Socialism: Government control and/or ownership over the basic means of production and distribution of goods and services.

Or simply: *Economic control* of people by government.

In order for government to regulate and control economic activity, **it must first control people**, for it is people buying and selling in the market place that make up the economy.

The above definition of Socialism includes *democratic socialism, Fascism* [Corporate Socialism], *Naziism* (National Socialism) and *Communism* (International Revolutionary Socialism).

It was over 40 years ago that I began to realize that the United States had already moved far down the soul-destroying road of Socialism. Little did I realize that I was not alone in coming to such an unpopular and *"politically incorrect"* conclusion.

Let's turn to the evidence that will prove that we are and have been on the road toward a Socialist America since at least 1933 (Roosevelt's New Deal).

Bernard Baruch, Wall Street financier and key advisor in Franklin Delano Roosevelt's New Deal and chairman of the War Industries Board during the First World War, stated the following in an August 1918 speech:

Every man's life is at the call of the nation and so must be every man's property. We are living today in a highly organized state of socialism. <u>The state is all</u>; the individual is of importance only as he contributes to the welfare of the state. His property is his only as the State does not need it. He must hold his life and possessions at the call of the State. (The New American magazine,"The One Party State", Oct. 4, 2004) <u>The One-Party State</u>

Baruch would have made a great speech writer for Hitler, Mussolini and Stalin!

Baruch's statement is not at all as extreme as it sounds when one takes into account the amount of socialistic regimentation and controls congress and President Woodrow Wilson's administration put on American industry and resources during World War 1. <u>War Collectivism in World War I by Murray N. Rothbard</u>

In 1936, in an attempt to show the striking parallels beween the Socialist and Democrat Party platforms, Al Smith, a former democratic presidential candidate, declared:

Make a test for yourself. Just get the platform of the Democratic Party and ... the Socialist Party and lay them down ... side by side and ... scratch out the word Democrat and scratch out the word Socialist and let the two platforms lay there.

SOCIALISM: THE ROAD TO COMMUNISM

Earl Browder, then general secretary of the Communist Party USA, in an address before the National Press Club in Washington D.C., in August of 1936, told assembled reporters:

The program of the Socialist Party and the program of the Communist Party have a common origin in the document known as the Communist Manifesto. There is no difference, so far as the program is concerned and final aim... **THE COMMUNIST MANIFESTO** #

The Socialists follow the lead of the Communists, the Democrats follow the lead of the Socialists, and the **Republicans follow the lead of the Democrats.** At the end of the road is complete Socialism which is Communism. *Before we can go Communist, we must first go socialist.*

EARL BROWDER: "America is getting socialism on the installment plan."

In 1966, 30 years later, Earl Browder was quoted in the Pittsburgh Press as follows:

America is getting socialism on the installment plan through the programs of the welfare state. There is more real socialism in the United States today than there is in the Soviet Union [Union of Soviet Socialist Republics].

Americans may not be willing to vote for a program under the name of "socialism," but put it under another party label - whether liberal Republican or

Democrat - and they're by and large in favor of the idea ...

We have no real socialist party, no socialist ideology, but we have a large-and growing-degree of what 50 years ago would have been recognized as socialism.

NORMAN THOMAS: " *The United States is making greater strides toward socialism ...*"

By the mid 1950's, Norman Thomas, six time candidate for President of the U.S. on the Socialist Party ticket, proclaimed that practically all of the planks of the Socialist Party platform of 1932 had been adopted by both the Democrat and Republican parties. In 1957, the *"Harvard Times-Republican"* of April 18 quoted Norman Thomas as follows:

The United States is making greater strides towards socialism under Eisenhower [a Republican] than under Roosevelt.

US News and World Report of July 14, 1969 noted:

The late Norman Thomas ... observed in 1964 that the Democrats "have through the years taken over measures once regarded as Socialist, but then so have the Republicans but to a slightly less degree. <u>Platform of the Socialist Party USA</u>

HAS THE U.S. ADOPTED THE "TEN POINT PLANK" OF THE COMMUNIST MANIFESTO?

In order to comprehend, more fully, just how far down the Socialist road (under both Democrat and Republican presidents) we have traveled, consider the following from the **Ten Point plank of the Communist (Socialist) Manifesto of 1848:**

1. *Abolition of property in land and application of all rent of land to public purposes.* (Confiscation and ownership of vast areas of land by both the Federal and state governments with the remaining private lands under multiple restrictions and regulations.)

2. *A heavy progressive or graduated income tax*. (The Federal Income Tax was adopted in 1913. Many of the states have since adopted their own versions of the graduated income tax.)

5. <u>Centralization of credit in the hands of the state</u>, by means of a national bank with state capital and an exclusive monopoly. (The Federal Reserve Banking System is a national bank with a very powerful and "exclusive monopoly.")

6. Centralization of the means of communication and transport in the hands of the state. (i.e. government FCC regulations on radio and television and the ICC regulations on the railroads, trucking companies, and the airlines etc. Amtrak is owned by the Federal Government. Some bus and transportation services are owned by state governments and regional metropolitan areas.)

10. *Free education for all children in public schools.* (Government schools, colleges and universities.

The Ten Planks of the Communist Manifesto Translated

WERE WILLIAM Z. FOSTER'S PROPOSALS ["TOWARD SOVIET AMERICA"] *ADOPTED IN THE UNITED STATES?*

In 1932, William Z. Foster, Chairman of the Communist Party USA authored the book *Toward Soviet America*. Beginning on Page 277 he declared that there would be:

....revolutionary **nationalization** or **socialization** (such as environmentally restrictive controls and regulation) of the large privately–owned ... factories, mines and power plant, ... railroads, waterways, airways, bus lines [and] ... the whole body of forest, mineral deposits, lakes, etc. (National Parks and forests etc.)

On page 281, he added:

There will also be ... social insurance against unemployment, old age [Social Security]...free medical services (Medicare, Medicaid etc.) ...All houses and other buildings will be socialized. (government zoning, planning and safety regulations etc.).

Now comes the shocker beginning on pages 316 - 317:

Superstition (religion) will vanish in the realm of science; ... class ideologies ... will give place to scientific materialist philosophy (Humanism).the schools, colleges and universities will be grouped under the National (Federal) Department of Education ... studies will be revolutionized being cleansed of religious, patriotic, and other features of bourgeois [middle class] ideology. Science will become materialistic, hence truly scientific: **God will be banished** from the laboratories as well as **from the schools.**there will be a great organization of science, backed by the full power of the government. (Sound familiar?)

On page 318 we read:

A National Department of Health (the Department of Health and Human Services) will be set up. A free medical service ... will be established. (National Health Care).

<u>Toward Soviet America</u> <u>Communist Goals for American Takeover</u>

HAS THE UNITED STATES ADOPTED PORTIONS OF THE "NATIONAL <u>SOCIALIST</u> WORKERS PARTY" PLATFORM?

Now we come to the National Socialist (Nazi) parallels that exist in the United States. Many of the famous *Twenty-five Points* of the National Socialist German Workers **Party (NAZI)** have been echoed by both Republicans and Democrats alike. Here are a few:

1. provide work and the means of livelihood for the citizens of the state....

2. We demand an extensive development of provision for old age. (Social Security).

3. The State must undertake a thorough reconstruction of our national system of education, with the aim of giving to every capable and industrious [citizen] the benefits of a higher education.... We demand educational facilities for specially gifted children of poor parents ... at the expense of the State. (Think of the Federal "Goals 2000" and "No child left behind."etc.)

4. The State must concern itself with raising the standard of health in the *nation....* (National Health Care, Medicare, Medicaid, etc.)

5. The Party ... is convinced that our nation can only achieve permanent wellbeing from within on the principle of placing the common interest before selfinterest. (Special interests and "group rights" replace "individual rights.") National Socialism, Platform Planks of, http://www.yale.edu/lawweb/avalon/imt/document/nca_vol4/1708-ps.htm

Other points included such things as – are you ready – **gun control [registration and confiscation],** federal welfare, and yes, day-care. They even had their own National Endowment for the Arts.

Stephen H. Roberts wrote:

The Fuhrer was fast establishing "der totale Staat"; there was forced unionization,...price-fixing, myriad Welfare programs, and a federal takeover of education. Credit expansion and "public works" programs helped to create the myth of full employment. Hitler organized that current "Liberal" panacea, a national health service, in which care was "centralized, and the Department was given surprisingly wide powers. It looked after the health of children and workers; it controlled the training of doctors and midwives and dentists and chemists; (The House that Hitler Built, New York, Harper & Brothers, 1938)

Now we will let Adolph Hitler have the last word:

We are socialist, we are enemies of today's capitalistic economic system for the exploitation of the economically weak, with its unfair salaries, with its unseemly evaluation of a human being according to wealth and property instead of responsibility and performance, and we are all determined to destroy this system under all conditions. (Speech of May 1, 1927. Quoted by J. Toland in Adolph Hitler, Garden City, N. Y. : Doubleday.)

<u>Nazism *is* Socialism</u>, <u>The Origins of Nazism Voice from Nazi Germany A</u> Nazi survivor shows parallels between Nazism and current trends in the U.S.

STRIKING SIMILARITIES BETWEEN FDR'S "NEW DEAL" AND MUSSOLINI'S FASCISM (CORPORATE SOCIALISM)

James Henry writing in *The New Australian* on January 24th, 1999, noted that:

"... the vast majority of people are totally incapable of recognizing a fascist economic program, even when it is used to slap them in the face. This is because they have not been taught that **fascism means state direction of the economy**, cradle to grave 'social security', **complete control** of education, **government intervention** in every nook and cranny of the economy — and the belief that **the individual belongs to the state**.

"<u>American Fascism</u>

Webster's Unabridged Dictionary defines Fascism as:

Any program for setting up and centralizing an autocratic regime with severely authoritarian politics **exercising regulation of industry, commerce and finance**, rigid censorship, and forcible oppression of opposition.

Charlotte Twight's scholarly **America's Emerging Fascist Economy** (Arlington House, 1975) page 20, points to Fascist Pretenses about property rights, which are in turn abrogated by license, regulation, limiting competition etc. Twight explains that:

To sustain its power and achieve its economic ends, fascism seeks to make its people economically and psychologically dependent on the government. [This] enhances the governments ... control over its' citizen's economic activities.

Fascism also installs the **mandatory** government license **as a central economic weapon**, for **compulsory** government licensing of businesses allows the political hierarchy to control the nation's economy **without the appearance of totalitarian coercion**.

Altruistically phrased, vague licensing standards such as the national or community interest, local needs, or the personal qualifications of the applicant **preserve a facade** of justice and due process **to conceal unlimited governmental power.** Exactly such elastic standards for government licenses prevailed in Nazi Germany. Amazon.com: Books: America's emerging Fascist economy

Unfortunately, these same Corporate Socialist controls and regulations are now in full bloom here in our beloved country, the United States of America.

Many of the principles of Mussolini's Italian **Fascism** as well as Hitler's German **National Socialism** have also been adopted in the United States **by both the Republican and Democrat Parties.** Please notice that what follows has *striking parallels* to what we have already covered!

It was Franklin Roosevelt, a Democrat, who wrote:

I have wanted the ... government, above all, to give great care to the social legislation needed to carry out our part of agreed international programs for industry and for those who bear the future of industry in fact, it has ratified the laws for the eight-hour day, for obligatory insurance, for regulation of the work of women and children, for assistance and benefits, for after-work diversion and

adult education, and finally for obligatory insurance against tuberculosis. All this shows how, in every detail in the field of labor, I stand by the ... working classes. All that it was possible to do without working an injury to the principle of solidarity in our economy I have set out to do, from the minimum wage to the continuity of employment, from insurance against accidents to indemnity against illness, from old age pensions to the proper regulation of military service. There is little which social welfare research has not already been advanced by me. I want to give every man and woman so generous an opportunity that work will be not a painful necessity but a joy of life ...

Pure "New Deal" philosophy!

Now I have to confess. The author of the foregoing was not Roosevelt. It was the Socialist Benito Mussolini. (*My Autobiograhy*, New York, Charles Scribner's Sons, 1928). You have to admit that it sure sounds like Roosevelt.

In *Architects of Conspiracy* by William P. Hoar, on page 127, we find the following shocker:

The economics of Fascist Italy were soon being imported into this country by President Franklin D. Roosevelt, whose C.C.C., W.P.A., P.WA. and other Depression-era schemes proved so damaging. Indeed, in his 'memoirs' former **President Herbert Hoover told it as it was:** 'Among the early Roosevelt fascist measures was the National Industry Recovery Act (NRA) of June 16, 1933 [these ideas] were adopted by the United States Chamber of Commerce. During the campaign of 1932, Henry I. Harriman, president of that body, urged that I agree to support these proposals, imforming me that Mr. Roosevelt had agreed to do so. I tried to show him that this stuff was pure fascism; that it was a remaking of Mussolini's corporate state and refused to agree to any of it. He informed me that in view of my attitude, the business world would support Roosevelt with money and influence. That for the most part proved true. (Western Islands, 1984) William P. Hoar - Architects of Conspiracy (Table of Contents) Architects of Conspiracy - William P. Hoar

As was the case in corporate socialist Italy, and Germany, American corporations were financing and organizing corporate socialism right here in the United States in an effort to consolidate and control, i.e., monopolize, the wealth and productivity of the American economy for themselves. This was the essence of the "New Deal". <u>The Revolution Was</u>, <u>Salvos Against the New Deal</u>, <u>The Roosevelt Myth</u>, <u>The Roosevelt Myth</u>

It should also be made clear that Nazism and Fascism are classified as **Corporate Socialism**, a political and economic system **by which giant corporations and banks maintain their monopolistic control of a nations economy** through government regulatory regimentation and financial control. That is, government interventionism, which encourages *monopoly capitalism*, thus limiting or even destroying the competition of *competitive free enterprise capitalism*. Remember, **socialism is not a share-the-wealth program, but is in reality, a tool by which monopoly capitalists consolidate and control the wealth** for themselves.

F. A. Voigt, a foreign correspondent prior to and during World War II, wrote:

Marxism [Socialism] which has led to Fascism and National Socialism, because, in all essentials, it **is** Fascism and National Socialism.

Nobel laureate Friedrich A. Hayek stated: "Basically National Socialism and Marxism are the same."

Biography of F. A. Hayek, Omnipotent Government, by Ludwig von Mises (Table of Contents) Socialism: An Economic and Sociological Analysis, Ludwig Von Mises.

Now we will let Benito Mussolini have the last word:

Everything for the State, nothing outside the state, nothing above the state.

Fascism should more appropriately be called **Corporatism** because it is a merger of State and corporate power. (In other words, Corporate Socialism!) <u>American</u> Fascism

As we shall see, many Republicans have been and are supporting these corporate socialist principles and programs.

Now for the Republicans.

RICHARD NIXON'S "GREAT SOCIALIST REVIVAL"

One of the most startling articles ever to appear in an American magazine appeared in the September 21, 1970 issue of *New York* Magazine entitled *"Richard Nixon and the Great Socialist Revival."* by the very liberal (socialist) Harvard economics professor John

Kenneth Galbraith.

Galbraith began by proclaiming:

Certainly the least predicted development under the Nixon Administration was this great new thrust to socialism. One encounters people who still aren't aware of it. Others must be rubbing their eyes, for certainly the portents seemed all to the contrary. As an opponent of socialism, Mr. Nixon seemed steadfast

Further on in his article, Galbraith writes:

But I had come reluctantly to the conclusion that socialism, even in this modest design, was something I would never see. Now I am being rescued by this new socialist upsurge promoted, of all things, by socialists not on the left but on the right. And they have the blessing, and conceivably much more, of a Republican Administration.

Professor Galbraith, in making reference to the British Fabian Socialist "doctrine of the commanding heights" wrote:

The new conservative socialism in the United States has taken over the strategy of the commanding heights with a vengeance. (*Nixon: The Man Behind the Mask*, Western Islands, 1971)

Two additional testimonies of President Nixon's great thrust into Socialism are found in "None Dare Call It Conspiracy" by Gary Allen:

"Walter Trohan, columnist emeritus for the *Chicago Tribune* and one of America's outstanding political commentators, has accurately noted:

It is a known fact that the policies of the government today, whether Republican or democratic, are closer to the 1932 platform of the Communist Party than they are to either of their own party platforms in that critical year. More than 100 years ago, in 1848 to be exact, Karl Marx promulgated his program for the socialized state in the Communist Manifesto...

"And Mr. Trohan has also been led to believe that the trend is inevitable:

Conservatives should be realistic enough to recognize that this country is going deeper into socialism and will see expansion of federal power, whether Republicans or democrats are in power. The only comfort they may have is that the pace will be slower under Richard M. Nixon than it might have been under Hubert H. Humphrey...

Conservatives are going to have to recognize that the Nixon Administration will embrace most of the socialism of the Democratic administrations, while professing to improve it...

"Fellow Harvard socialist Dr. Arthur Schlesinger has said much the same thing:

The chief liberal gains in the past generally remain on the statute books when the conservatives recover power . . . liberalism grows constantly more liberal, and by the same token, conservatism grows constantly less conservative... (None Dare Call It Conspiracy by Gary Allen, Concord Press, 1971, Chapter 2. SOCIALISM — ROYAL ROAD TO POWER FOR THE SUPER-RICH) None Dare Call It Conspiracy 1 -Don't Confuse me with Facts!

It was during the Nixon Republican administration that the following steps (among others) toward Socialism were taken:

1. The socialistic **Environmental Protection Agency** was created by an unconstitutional executive order, thereby circumventing Congress, and giving the federal government virtual control and power over all land, air and water.

2. Federal Revenue Sharing, which has led to a greater and more direct federal control of state and local governments, and therefore greater socialistic concentration of political and economic power.

3. The total and complete **elimination of any remaining gold backing of our currency** which has further facilitated the weakening of the value of the dollar, thereby creating greater price inflation (rising prices).

4. Socialistic **price controls** leading to growing shortages which nearly led to rationing.

5. Greatly increased **treasonous foreign aid**, trade and financial credit and loans to our communist enemies. (Particularly Soviet Russia and Communist China.)

6. Greatly increased spending for unconstitutional socialistic programs such as

social services, entitlements, medical care, education, foreign aid and other socialistic transfer-of-the-wealth schemes etc. (The sharing of someone else's wealth, of course.)

And lastly, Richard Nixon's economic and fiscal policies can be summed up as follows:

"I am now a Keynesian in economics" - Richard Nixon The Trouble With Keynes | The Foundation for Economic Education ...

Was President Nixon a socialist? <u>Nixon: The Man Behind the Mask [Chapter 1]</u>

So now you can see clearly that both the Republican and Democrat Parties have become very effective vehicles for pushing the United Stated toward complete socialism. Unfortunately the process continues even under the George W. Bush administration.

PRES. BUSH: "... a Republican administration will continue and complete the work of a Democratic (Clinton) administration."

One of the major policy areas where President Bush is continuing and expanding the work of the Clinton administration is in the area of socialistic environmental regulation. Bush stated:

And now, a Republican administration will continue and complete the work of a democratic administration.

Of course this statement can be applied to most if not all major policy objectives such as:

1. Education: In January 2002, Mr. Bush signed into law the "No Child Left Behind Act," which radically increased the federal role in education. In his most recent budget proposal, the fiscal 2005 budget, Mr. Bush proposed spending \$64.3 billion for the Department of Education, as compared to \$35.7 billion in 2001, the year he assumed office — an 80.1 percent increase.

2. Foreign Aid: In March 2002, Mr. Bush called for a 50 percent increase in "core development assistance" over three years. In his fiscal 2005 budget, he proposed \$16.6 billion in total spending for "international assistance programs," as compared to \$11.8 billion in 2001.

3. Agriculture: In May 2002, Mr. Bush signed into law a gargantuan farm bill he supported that increases direct farm program spending by \$73 billion over 10 years and also contains \$243 billion for food stamps.

4. Health Care: In December 2003, Mr. Bush signed into law a new prescription drug entitlement program he championed. When passed by Congress, the administration estimated that the program would cost \$400 billion over 10 years. Subsequently, the administration revised the \$400 billion estimate upward to \$530 billion. (*The New American* magazine, "Whom Do We Elect", July, 12, 2004) Whom Do We Elect?, Not Yours To Give by Walter Williams -- Capitalism Magazine,

For a preview of what a second Bush administration would do to continue expanding on the **socialistic** policies and programs of the previous Clinton democratic administration, hear what *liberal* Republican columnist **Andrew Sullivan** had to say:

The (2004 GOP Convention) began with a series of speeches trumpeting vast increases in federal spending: on education, healthcare, AIDS, medical research, and on and on,... No, these were not Democrats. They were Bush Republicans, extolling the capacity of government to help people, to cure the sick, educate the young, save Africans from HIV, subsidize religious charities, prevent or cure breast cancer, and any other number of worthy causes .(The New American magazine, "The One Party State", October 4, 2004) The One-Party State

Pure Socialism!

"There ought to be limits to freedom." Governor George W Bush, May 21, 1999

Is President Bush a Socialist? Republocrats

Notice how much these programs parallel those of NAZI Germany, Fascist Italy and William Z. Foster's *Toward Soviet America*.

A very good way to measure the growth and advance of socialism in these United States is to look at the growth of federal spending and the size of the Federal budget. It took well over 100 years for the federal budget to reach about **1 billion dollars in 1917**, just before entering the First World War. 45 years later in **1962**, it reached about **100 billion**. Now, 46 years later, in the year 2008, under a **Republican** administration, the federal budget is now over <u>3 Trillion dollars</u>. Even with price inflation factored in, this is

astronomical. Lest we forget, the national debt has now surpassed the 10 **trillion dollar level!** Now if you think these figures are staggering, start adding up the exploding growth of all state and local spending and debt. **EYE-OPENER: Trends of Government Spending & Debt**

Now imagine how prosperous and rich we would all be had the Federal Government's spending been frozen at the 100 billion dollar level of 1962, while being forced to return to its strict Constitutional limitations.

CONCLUSION AND SOLUTION

In conclusion, all of the above is a perfect description of the political and economic realities that exist in the United States today!

In Nazi Germany, Fascist Italy, and the Union of Soviet **Socialist** Republics, socialistic and welfare-state ideas were developed in the name of socialism. But here in the United States, socialism was promoted in the name of: "social reform," "social justice," "equality," "civil rights," and liberalism, etc.

Republican and Democratic administrations come and go, **but the show remains the same.** The rapid growth and increasing size of tyrannical taxes and very burdensome regulatory controls of government and the loss of most of our precious God-ordained rights to individual liberty continue **no matter which party is in power.** The lock-step march toward **complete socialism (Communism)** continues unabated.

We Americans should never forget the wise counsel of the Father of our beloved country, **George Washington:**

Government is not reason; it is not eloquence; it is force; like fire, it is a dangerous servant and a fearful master.

It was for this very reason that **James Madison** wrote the following in **Federalist Paper No. 25**:

The powers delegated by the proposed Constitution to the federal government are few and defined. Those which are to remain in the State governments are numerous and indefinite. The former will be exercised principally on external objects, as war, peace, negotiation and foreign commerce. ... The powers reserved to the several States will extend to all the objects which in the ordinary course of affairs, concern the lives and liberties, and properties of the people, and the internal order, improvement and prosperity of the State. Federalist Papers

And now this from the great **Thomas Jefferson**:

....it would be a dangerous delusion were a confidence in the men of our choice to silence our fears for the safety of our rights; that confidence is everywhere the parent of despotism; free government is founded in jealousy and not in confidence; it is jealousy and not confidence which prescribes limited constitutions to bind down those whom we are obliged to trust with power; that our Constitution has accordingly fixed the limits to which and no further our confidence may go;In questions of power, then let no more be heard of confidence in man (officers of government) but bind them down from mischief by the chains of the Constitution. (The Kentucky Resolutions, 1798) www.yale.edu/lawweb/avalon/kenres.htm

If we as a people and a nation do not return to those *principles and precepts of our divinely inspired Constitution* and Competitive Free Enterprise System, *and once again worship the God of the land, who is Jesus Christ*, we will pass into oblivion and history as have other great civilizations before us. *An Ageless Constitution Based On Lasting Principles, Congress and the Constitution, Founders' Constitution : Table of Contents, More on the Constitution* Historical Documents (American Memory from the Library of Congress)

But let us not despair. There is still great hope if we will but seek wisdom and counsel from the great Benjamin Franklin who was instrumental in helping to guide and steady the Framing Constitutional Convention of 1787 to a great and successful conclusion. At a time when the Convention was on the verge of breaking up, and the new infant nation was close to sliding into anarchy, as is the case for us today, **Benjamin Franklin gave the following wise counsel:**

We indeed seem to feel our own want of political wisdom, since we have been running about in search of it ... In this situation ... groping as it were in the dark to find political truth ... how has it happened, sir, that we have not hitherto once thought of humbly applying to the Father of lights [God] to illuminate our understandings? ... I have lived, Sir, a long time, and the longer I live, the more convincing proofs I see of this truth--that God governs in the affairs of men. And if a sparrow cannot fall to the ground without his notice, is it probable that an empire can rise [or survive] without his aid?... Henceforth [let us pray for] the assistance of Heaven, and its blessings upon our deliberations... (Constitutional Convention, 1787) The Miracle at Philadelphia.

It was with the assistance and inspiration of Heaven that our Forefathers settled this choice land and brought about the birth and rise of this nation with faith and great sacrifice. Now may we follow their great example, and walk in their footsteps; pick up where they left off and restore our divinely inspired Constitution and thus our beloved country to its former greatness and glory; that we may once again be a shining light of Liberty and hope for a world in spiritual darkness and bondage, *for this is our destiny!*

<u>Leading America to Victory</u>, <u>A Better World</u> <u>www.jbs.org</u> <u>Independent American Party</u>

Contact me at <u>Gorgoglione.Robert@gmail.com</u>. For more information go to: <u>www.thenewamerican.com</u> and <u>www.stoptheftaa.org</u>

APPENDIX

The following excerpts from the late **Antony Sutton**, former Research Fellow at the Hoover Institution for War, Revolution and Peace at Stanford University from 1968 to 1973, will give greater insight and historical perspective to the above work.

"... it may be observed that both the extreme right and the extreme left of the *conventional* political spectrum are absolutely collectivist. The **national socialist** (for example, the fascist) and the **international socialist** (for example, the Communist) *both recommend totalitarian politico-economic systems based on naked, unfettered political power and individual coercion.* Both systems require monopoly control of society. While monopoly control of industries was once the objective of J. P. Morgan and J. D. Rockefeller, by the late nineteenth century the inner sanctums of Wall Street understood that the most efficient way to gain an unchallenged monopoly was to "go political" and make society go to work for the monopolists — under the name of the public good and the public interest. This strategy was detailed in 1906 by Frederick C. Howe in his *Confessions of a Monopolist.* ¹ Howe, by the way, is also a figure in the story of the Bolshevik Revolution."

This alliance has gone unobserved largely because historians — with a few notable exceptions — have an unconscious Marxian bias and are thus locked into the impossibility of any such alliance existing. The open-minded reader should bear two clues in mind: *monopoly capitalists are the bitter enemies of laissez-faire entrepreneurs;* and, given the weaknesses of socialist central planning, *the totalitarian socialist state is a perfect captive market for monopoly capitalists,* if an

[&]quot;Consequently, one barrier to mature understanding of recent history is the notion that all capitalists are the bitter and unswerving enemies of all Marxists and socialists. This erroneous idea originated with Karl Marx and was undoubtedly useful to his purposes. In fact, the idea is nonsense. There has been a continuing, albeit concealed, alliance between international political capitalists and international revolutionary socialists — to their mutual benefit. (See The Rockefeller File by Gary Allen, Chapter 9 - Building The Big Red Machine)

alliance can be made with the socialist power brokers. Suppose — and it is only hypothesis at this point — that American monopoly capitalists were able to reduce a planned socialist Russia to the status of a captive technical colony? Would not this be the logical twentieth-century internationalist extension of the Morgan railroad monopolies and the Rockefeller petroleum trust of the late nineteenth century?

"These are the rules of big business. They have superseded the teachings of our parents and are reducible to a simple maxim: Get a monopoly; let Society work for you: and remember that the best of all business is politics, for a legislative grant, franchise, subsidy or tax exemption is worth more than a Kimberly or Comstock lode, since it does not require any labor, either mental or physical, for its exploitation" (Frederick C. Howe, Confessions of a Monopolist, Chicago, Public Publishing, 1906), P. 157.

From Chapter 1, *Wall Street and the Bolshevik Revolution* by Antony Sutton Arlington House, 1974. Wall Street and the Bolshevik Revolution by Antony C. Sutton

<u>Wall Street and the Bolshevik Revolution by Antony C. Sutton</u> <u>Gary Allen: The Rockefeller File</u> <u>WALL STREET AND THE RISE OF HITLER by Antony C. Sutton</u>

THE ORIGINS OF CORPORATE SOCIALISM

"Old John D. Rockefeller and his 19th century fellow-capitalists were convinced of one absolute truth: that **no great monetary wealth could be accumulated under the impartial rules of a competitive laissez faire society.** The only sure road to the acquisition of massive wealth was monopoly: Drive out your competitors, reduce competition, eliminate laissez-faire, and above all get state protection for your industry through compliant politicians and *government regulation. This last avenue yields a legal monopoly, and a legal monopoly always leads to wealth.*"

"This robber baron schema is also, under different labels, the socialist plan. The difference between a corporate state monopoly and a socialist state monopoly is essentially only the identity of the group controlling the power structure. *The essence of socialism is monopoly control by the state using hired planners and academic sponges.* On the other hand, Rockefeller, Morgan, and their corporate friends aimed to acquire and control their monopoly and to maximize its profits **through influence in the state political apparatus;** this, while it still needs hired planners and academic sponges, is a discreet and far more subtle process than outright state ownership under socialism. Success for the Rockefeller gambit has depended particularly upon focusing public

attention upon largely irrelevant and superficial historical creations, such as the myth of a struggle between capitalists and communists, and careful cultivation of political forces by big business. We call this phenomenon of *corporate legal monopoly—market control acquired by using political influence—by the name of corporate socialism.*"

"The monopoly economic system based on **corruption and privilege** described by Howe is a **politically** run economy. It is at the same time also a system of disguised forced labor, called by Ludwig von Mises the *Zwangswirtschaft* system, a system of compulsion. *It is this element of compulsion that is common to all politically run economies:* Hitler's **New Order,** Mussolini's **corporate state**, Kennedy's **New Frontier**, Johnson's **Great Society**, and Nixon's **Creative Federalism**. Compulsion was also an element in Herbert Hoover's reaction to the depression and much more obviously in Franklin D. Roosevelt's **New Deal** and the National Recovery Administration."

"It is this element of compulsion that **enables a few**—those who hold and gain from the **legal** monopoly—to live in society **at the expense of the many**. Those *who control or benefit from* **the legislative franchises and regulation** and who *influence the government bureaucracies* at the same time are determining the rules and regulations to protect their present wealth, prey on the wealth of others, *and keep out new entrants from their business."*_____

"In brief, regulatory agencies are devices to use the police power of the state to *shield favored industries from competition, to protect their inefficiencies, and to guarantee their profits.* And, of course, these devices are vehemently defended by their wards: the regulated businessmen or, as we term them, 'the corporate socialists.' " "This system of *legal compulsion* is the modern expression of Frederic Bastiat's dictum that *socialism is a system where everyone attempts to live at the expense of everyone else.* Consequently, corporate socialism is a system where those few who hold the *legal* monopolies of financial and industrial control, profit *at the expense of all others in society.*"

[&]quot;What was the philosophy of the financiers so far described? Certainly anything but laissez-faire competition, which was the last system they envisaged. Socialism, communism, fascism or their variants were acceptable. The ideal for these financiers was "cooperation," forced if necessary. Individualism was out, and competition was immoral. On the other hand, cooperation was consistently advocated as moral and worthy, and nowhere is compulsion rejected as immoral. Why? Because, when the verbiage is stripped away from the high-sounding phrases, *compulsory cooperation was their golden road to a legal monopoly*. Under the guise of public service, social

objectives, and assorted do-goodism *it is fundamentally 'Let society go to work for Wall Street.' "* (From Chapter 5 of *Wall Street and FDR* by Antony Sutton, Arlington House, 1975.) <u>Wall Street and FDR by Antony C. Sutton</u>

Three primary types of Capitalism

They consist of Competitive and Monopoly Capitalism

1. *Free-Market Competitive Capitalism* - Tools of production (private property) are owned and controlled by private citizens - *Constitutional Republic*

2. *Government Controlled Capitalism (monopoly)* - Tools of production (private property) are owned by private citizens but controlled (regulated) by government - *Nazism and Fascism* (Corporate Socialism).

3. *Government-Owned Capitalism (monopoly)* - Tools of Production (property) are owned and thus controlled by government – *communism*

The Political Spectrum

According to media and public education sources, our range of choices is defined within a spectrum of *far left, far right*, and the *rational middle ground*. The diagram looks something like this:

| Fig. 5-3. The Popular Political Spectrum | | | |
|--|-------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| Communism | The Sane Middle Ground | Fascism | |
| International Socialism | Democratic Socialism | National Socialism | |

The far left is where those idealistic, but misguided communists hang out, and the far right is the dwelling place of those evil fascists. (Both of them use bullets as their primary means of directing public policy.) On the other hand, those in the "sane middle ground" seek many of the same ends as do the communists and fascists, but they are wise enough to use ballots instead of bullets. In theory, this prevents massive blood-letting every time political power changes hands. As long as the losers believe that they can regain power

later by using the system, ballots will not be replaced by bullets.

What is interesting about this political spectrum is that **all the choices presented imply some form of socialism**. It is truly a masterpiece of debate strategy because it frames the debate in such a way that only one rational choice can be made. With all other choices excluded from consideration, the debate has been framed in advance **and the conclusions are fore-ordained**.

Another way of looking at our range of choices is in terms of the degree or percentage of government control of peoples' everyday lives:



In this figure, our range starts with *total government* on the left and ends with *no government* on the right. You will notice that Fascism (including Nazism) are *left wing*, not right wing. **Both are** *Socialistic*.

Unlike *Figure 5-3*, *Figure 5-4* dramatically expands the conceptual framework by including more types of government. This is important because it is hard to make wise choices when we do not fully understand what our options are. <u>The Role of Government in Society</u>

More on the Political Spectrum

We hear a lot today about right-wingers versus left-wingers, **but what do those terms really mean?** For example, we are told that communists and socialists are at the extreme left, and the Nazis and fascists are on the extreme right. Here we have the image of two powerful ideological adversaries pitted against each other, and the impression is that, somehow, they are opposites. But, what is the difference? **They are not opposites at all. They are the same**. The insignias may be different, but when you analyze communism and Nazism, **they both embody the principles of socialism**. Communists make no bones about socialism being their ideal, and the Nazi movement in Germany was actually called the National **Socialist** Party. Communist believe in *international* socialism, whereas Nazis advocate *national* socialism. Communists promote *class* hatred and *class*

conflict to motivate the loyalty and blind obedience of their followers, whereas the Nazis use *race* conflict and *race* hatred to accomplish the same objective. Other than that, there is no difference between communism and Nazism. They are **both the epitome of collectivism**, and yet we are told they are, supposedly, at opposite ends of the spectrum!

There's only one thing that makes sense in constructing a political spectrum and that is to put zero government at one end of the line and 100% at the other. Now we have something we can comprehend. Those who believe in zero government are the anarchists, and those who believe in total government are the totalitarians. With that definition, we find that communism and Nazism are together at the same end. They are both totalitarian. Why? Because they are both based on the model of collectivism. Communism, Nazism, Fascism and socialism all gravitate toward bigger and bigger government, because that is the logical extension of their common ideology. Under collectivism, all problems are the responsibility of the state and must be solved by the state. The more problems there are, the more powerful you reach all the way to the end of the scale, which is total government. Regardless of what name you give it, regardless of how you re-label it to make it seem new or different, *collectivism is totalitarianism*.

Actually, the straight-line concept of a political spectrum is somewhat misleading. It is really a circle. You can take that straight line with 100% government at one end and zero at the other, bend it around, and touch the ends at the top. Now it's a circle because, under anarchy, where there is no government, you have absolute rule by those with the biggest fists and the most powerful weapons. So, you jump from zero government to totalitarianism in a flash. They meet at the top. We are really dealing with a circle, and the only logical place for us to be is somewhere in the middle of the extremes. We need social and political organization, of course, but it must be built on individualism, an ideology with an affinity to that part of the spectrum with the *least* amount of government possible instead of collectivism with an affinity to the other end of the spectrum with the *most* amount of government is best which governs *least*.

From G. Edward Griffin at <u>www.freedomforceinternational.com</u>

Political Systems

Why a Republic? The Flaws of Direct Democracy

From an "Overview of Our World" by John F. McManus

President of the John Birch Society <u>www.jbs.org</u>

What is a Democracy?

It means rule of the majority or "mob rule". It means that if more than 50% of the group want something, they can have it but at the same time, the minority lose all their rights. Some call it "mobocracy" or **"tyranny of the majority**".

History has shown that democracies are fatally flawed and have consistently ended up as oligarchies. Therefore a Democratic system of government is only temporary. It is in a transitional state which always ends in tyranny. Therefore a Democracy is not a viable political system.

For the purposes of this discussion, I think it will help if I explain how I see the different forms of governments in the world today.

The are different styles of Government, which are beautifully defined by the John Birch Society. However, there are really only two stable political systems – Oligarchy and Republic, as illustrated in this diagram. The others are merely transitional states of governing.

| Left Wing | | | Right Wing | | |
|-------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| POLITICAL SYSTEMS | | | | | |
| Monarchy Rule by One | Oligarchy Rule by Elite | <u>Democracy</u> Rule by Majority | <u>Republic</u> Rule by Law | <u>Anarchy</u> Rule by None | |

Monarchy – Rule by One...but it does not *appear* as "Rule by One". **Canada is a perfect example!** In a convoluted way, in Canada the Monarchy controls at arms length through its representatives, the Governor General and the Prime Minister who swear their allegiances to the Crown but not to the flag, the people nor the nation that it stands for. The Prime Minister derives his power to overrule the MPs in Parliament from the Monarchy. The Prime Minister is never elected by the People. The reality is that it is "Rule by an elite group" with one up front. (Kings, potentates, Emperors, etc.).

Democracy - As soon as more people want something than don't, a tyranny of a democracy occurs (mobocracy). History has shown that all Democracies deteriorate into tyranny. Therefore, a Democracy is not a stable form of government but only a **transitional state toward an Oligarchy**, then revolution into anarchy and the cycle continues to repeat itself.

In 1787, Alexander Tyler, a Scottish history professor a The University of Edinborough, had this to say about "The Fall of Athenia" some 2,000 years prior:

A democracy is always temporary in nature; it simply cannot exist as a permanent form of government. A democracy will continue to exist up until the time that voters discover that they can vote themselves generous gifts from the public treasury. From that moment on, the majority (mobocracy) always votes for the candidates who promise the most benefits from the public treasury, with the result that every democracy will finally collapse due to loose fiscal policy, [which is] always followed by a dictatorship.

The United State's War Department's 1928 "Training Manual No. 2000-25," which was intended for use in citizenship training, defined democracy as:

A government of the masses. Authority derived through mass meeting or any other form of "direct expression." Results in mobocracy. Attitude toward property is communistic — negating property rights. Attitude of the law is that the will of the majority shall regulate, whether it be based upon deliberation or governed by passion, prejudice, and impulse, without restraint or regard to consequences. Results in demagogism, license, agitation, discontent, anarchy.

James Madison, who is rightly known as the "Father of the Constitution," wrote in *The Federalist*, No. 10:

"... democracies have ever been spectacles of turbulence and contention; have ever been found incompatible with personal security, or the rights of

property; and have in general been as short in their lives as they are violent in their deaths."

<u>Republic</u> - Rule by Law. The word Republic (res publica), which literally translated means law for the people (rule of objective law). The founding Fathers of America wanted a limited negative government power which leaves the people alone but protects them from physical force from others including government.

Anarchy - The truth is that most crimes in history have been performed by Government, therefore occasionally people conclude that they want no government at all so they destroy the existing government with an uprising or a coup. This results in chaos, looting, burning, killing. This is not a true form of government as it is merely a transition where the people eventually ask for someone to come in and restore order and quite often it is the oligarchy which was overthrown in the first place, hence a return to an **Oligarchy** form of government. This happened in Russia in 1917, in Nicaragua in 1979 and in Iran in 1979. It has happened throughout history with great frequency.

The Following excerpts from **Frederic Bastiat's** classic *THE LAW*, published in 1850, will give a greater insight into the <u>nature</u> and <u>principles</u> of socialism and its <u>consequences</u>.

Socialism Is Legal Plunder

"Now, legal plunder can be committed in an infinite number of ways. Thus we have an infinite number of plans for organizing it: tariffs, protection, *benefits, subsidies, encouragements, progressive taxation, public schools, guaranteed jobs, guaranteed profits, minimum wages, a right to relief, a right to the tools of labor, free credit, and so on, and so on. All these plans as a whole --with their common aim of legal plunder -- constitute socialism.*

"Now, since under this definition socialism is a body of doctrine, what attack can be made against it other than a war of doctrine? If you find this socialistic doctrine to be false, absurd, and evil, then refute it. And the more false, the more absurd, and the more evil it is, the easier it will be to refute. *Above all, if you wish to be strong, begin by rooting out every particle of socialism that may have crept into your legislation.* This will be no light task.

"But it is upon the law that socialism itself relies. Socialists desire to practice legal plunder, not illegal plunder. Socialists, like all other monopolists, desire to make the law their own weapon. And when once the law is on the side of socialism, how can it be used against socialism? For when plunder is abetted by the law, it does not fear your courts, your gendarmes, and your prisons. Rather, it may call upon them for help.

"To prevent this, you would exclude socialism from entering into the making of laws? You would prevent socialists from entering the Legislative Palace? You shall not succeed, I predict, *so long as legal plunder continues to be the main business of the legislature. It is illogical -- in fact, absurd -- to assume otherwise.*" (THE LAW, P. 22 - 23)

Try Liberty

"Away with their artificial systems! Away with the whims of governmental administrators, their socialized projects, their centralizations, their tariffs, their government schools, their state religions, their free credit, their bank monopolies, their regulations, their restrictions, their equalizations by taxation, and their pious moralizations!

"And now that the legislators and do-gooders have so futilely inflicted so many systems upon society, may they finally end where they should have begun: May they reject all systems, and try liberty; for liberty is an acknowledgement of faith in God and His works."

And Finally:

"The State is the Great fiction through which everybody endeavors to live at the expense of everybody." "<u>The State</u>" by Frederic Bastiat.

Frederic Bastiat, "The Law" 1850, Following the French Communist Revolution of 1848.

To study "THE LAW" go to <u>www.bastiat.org/en/the_law.html</u>, <u>Frederic</u> <u>Bastiat</u>

The following is taken from:

The Proper Role of Government

by the Honorable Ezra Taft Benson,

Secretary of Agriculture - 1953-1961

Chapter 8 of An Enemy Hath Done This, 1969, Parliament Publishers To study the The Proper Role of Government

> go to <u>On the Proper Role of Government</u> <u>www.properroleofgovt.org</u>

Things the Government Should Not Do

"A category of government activity which, today, not only requires the closest scrutiny, but which also poses a grave danger to our continued freedom, is the activity NOT within the proper sphere of government. No one has the authority to grant such powers, as welfare programs, schemes for re-distributing the wealth, and activities which coerce people into acting in accordance with a prescribed code of social planning. There is one simple test. Do I as an individual have a right to use force upon my neighbor to accomplish this goal? If I do have such a right, then I may delegate that power to my government to exercise on my behalf. If I do not have that right as an individual, then I cannot delegate it to government, and I cannot ask my government to perform the act for me.

"To be sure, there are times when this principle of the proper role of government is most annoying and inconvenient. If I could only FORCE the ignorant to provide for themselves, or the selfish to be generous with their wealth! But if we permit government to manufacture its own authority out of thin air, and **to create self-proclaimed powers not delegated to it by the people, then the creature exceeds the creator and becomes master.** Beyond that point, where shall the line be drawn? Who is to say "this far, but no farther?" What clear PRINCIPLE will stay the hand of government from reaching farther and yet farther into our daily lives? We shouldn't forget the wise words of President Grover Cleveland that "... though the people support the Government the Government should not support the people." (P.P.N.S., p.345) We should also remember, as Frederic Bastiat reminded us, that "Nothing can enter the public treasury for the benefit of one citizen or one class unless other citizens and other classes have been forced to send it in." (THE LAW, P. 30; *Prophets, Principles and National Survival,* p.350, Publishers Press Salt Lake City, Utah, 191993)

The Dividing Line Between Proper and Improper Government

"As Bastiat pointed out over a hundred years ago, once government steps over this clear line between the protective or negative role into the aggressive role of redistributing the wealth and providing so-called "benefits" for some of its citizens, it then becomes a means for what he accurately described as *legalized plunder*. It becomes a lever of unlimited power which is the sought-after prize of unscrupulous individuals and pressure groups, each seeking to control the machine to fatten his own pockets or to benefit its favorite charities – *all with the other fellow's money. of course."* (THE LAW, 1850, reprinted by the Foundation for Economic Education, Irvington-On-Hudson, N.Y.)

The Nature of Legal Plunder

Listen to Bastiat's explanation of this "legal plunder."

"When a portion of wealth is transferred from the person who owns it – without his consent and without compensation, and whether by force or by fraud – to anyone who does not own it, then I say that property is violated; that an act of plunder is committed!

"How is the legal plunder to be identified? Quite simply. See if the law takes from some persons what belongs to them, and gives it to other persons to whom it does not belong. See if the law benefits one citizen at the expense of another by doing what the citizen himself cannot do without committing a crime..." (THE LAW, P. 21, 26; P.P.N.S., P. 377) Not Yours to Give - Davy Crockett's address to Congress

As Bastiat observed, and as history has proven, each class or special interest group competes with the others to throw the lever of governmental power in their favor, or

at least to immunize itself against the effects of a previous thrust. Labor gets a minimum wage, so agriculture seeks a price support. Consumers demand price controls, and industry gets protective tariffs. In the end, no one is much further ahead, and everyone suffers the burdens of a gigantic bureaucracy and a loss of personal freedom. With each group out to get its share of the spoils, such governments historically have mushroomed into total welfare states. Once the process begins, once the principle of the protective function of government gives way to the aggressive or redistribute function, then forces are set in motion that drive the nation toward totalitarianism. "It is impossible," Bastiat correctly observed, "to introduce into society... a greater evil than this: the conversion of the law into an instrument of plunder." (THE LAW, P. 12)

The Following is taken from *The Constitution: A Heavenly Banner* by the Honorable Ezra Taft Benson

Secretary of Agriculture - 1953-1961

Deseret Book Company, Salt Lake City, Utah To study *The Constitution: A Heavenly Banner*

> Go to <u>Latter-Day Conservative</u> <u>More on the Constitution</u>

The *Source* of Human Rights

The third important principle pertains to the source of basic human rights. Thomas **Paine,** back in the days of the American Revolution, explained:

"Rights are not gifts from one man to another, nor from one class of men to another. . . . It is impossible to discover any origin of rights otherwise than in the origin of man; it consequently follows that rights appertain to man in right of his existence, and must therefore be equal to every man."

The great **Thomas Jefferson** asked:

"Can the liberties of a nation be thought secure when we have removed their only basis, a conviction in the minds of the people that **these liberties are of the gift of** *God*? that they are not to be violated but with his wrath?"

The feelings of these great men are in keeping with the revelation of God through his prophet, who said:

"Men are free according to the flesh . . . and they are free to choose liberty and

eternal life ... or to choose captivity and death." (2 Nephi 2:27.)

Rights are either God-given as part of the divine plan, or they are granted by government as part of the political plan. Reason, necessity, tradition, and religious conviction all lead me to accept the divine origin of these rights. If we accept the premise that human rights are granted by government, then we must be willing to accept the corollary that they can be denied by government. I, for one, shall never accept that premise. As the French political economist Frederick Bastiat phrased it so succinctly, "Life, liberty, and property do not exist because men have made laws. On the contrary, it was the fact that life, liberty, property existed beforehand that caused men to make laws in the first place."

We must ever keep in mind the inspired words of **Thomas Jefferson**, as found in the Declaration of Independence:

"We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable Rights, that among these are Life, Liberty and the pursuit of Happiness. That to secure these rights, Governments are instituted among Men, deriving their just powers from the consent of the governed."

People Are *Superior* to Governments

The fourth basic principle we must understand is that people are superior to the governments they form. Since God created people with certain inalienable rights, and they, in turn, created government to help secure and safeguard those rights, it follows that the people are superior to the creature they created. We are superior to government and should remain master over it, not the other way around. Government is nothing more nor less than a relatively small group of citizens who have been hired, in a sense, by the rest of us to perform certain functions and discharge certain responsibilities we have authorized. It stands to reason that the government itself has no innate power nor privilege to do anything. Its only source of authority and power is from the people who have created it. This is made clear in the Preamble of the Constitution of the United States, which reads:

WE THE PEOPLE do ordain and establish this Constitution for the United States of America"

Here is some more VERY interesting knowledge by the honorable Ezra Taft Benson.

"Founded in the Wisdom of God"

Hence we say that the Constitution of the United States is a glorious standard; it is founded in the wisdom of God. It is a heavenly banner; it is to all those who are privileged with the sweets of its liberty, like the cooling shades and refreshing waters of a great rock in a thirsty and weary land. It is like a great tree under whose branches men from every clime can be shielded from the burning rays of the sun.

Joseph Smith

Liberty Jail, March 1839

Our Destiny

"Now may we follow the great example of our Founding Fathers and walk in their footsteps; pick up where they left off and restore our divinely inspired Constitution, and thus our beloved country to its former greatness and glory; that we may once again be a shining light of Liberty and hope for a world in spiritual darkness and bondage – for this is our destiny!"

> Robert D. Gorgoglione April 30, 2008

Wall Street and FDR

Bу

Antony C. Sutton

| <u>Chapter</u> 1 | Roosevelts and Delanos | | |
|-----------------------------|--|--|--|
| <u>Chapter</u> 2 | Politics in the Bonding Business | | |
| <u>Chapter</u> <u>3</u> | FDR: International Speculator | | |
| <u>Chapter</u> <u>4</u> | FDR: Corporate Promoter | | |
| <u>Chapter</u> 5 | Making Society Work for the Few | | |
| <u>Chapter</u> <u>6</u> | Prelude to the New Deal | | |
| <u>Chapter</u> 7 | Roosevelt, Hoover, and the Trade Councils | | |
| <u>Chapter</u> <u>8</u> | Wall Street Buys The New Deal | | |
| <u>Chapter</u> 9 | FDR AND THE CORPORATE SOCIALISTS | | |
| <u>Chapter</u> 10 | FDR; Man on the White Horse | | |
| <u>Chapter</u> <u>11</u> | The Corporate Socialists at 120 Broadway, New York City | | |
| <u>Chapter</u> 12 | FDR and the Corporate Socialists | | |
| Appendix A | | | |

WALL STREET AND THE RISE OF HITLER

By Antony C. Sutton

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Preface

Introduction

Unexplored Facets of Naziism

PART ONE: Wall Street Builds Nazi Industry

Chapter One

Wall Street Paves the Way for Hitler

<u>1924: The Dawes Plan</u> <u>1928: The Young Plan</u> <u>B.I.S. — The Apex of Control</u> <u>Building the German Cartels</u>

Chapter Two

The Empire of I.G. Farben

The Economic Power of I.G. Farben Polishing I.G. Farben's Image The American I.G. Farben

Chapter Three

General Electric Funds Hitler

General Electric in Weimar, Germany General Electric & the Financing of Hitler Technical Cooperation with Krupp A.E.G. Avoids the Bombs in World War II

Chapter Four

Standard Oil Duels World War II

Ethyl Lead for the Wehrmacht Standard Oil and Synthetic Rubber The Deutsche-Amerikanische Petroleum A.G.

Chapter Five

I.T.T. Works Both Sides of the War

Baron Kurt von Schröder and I.T.T. Westrick, Texaco, and I.T.T. I.T.T. in Wartime Germany

PART TWO: Wall Street and Funds for Hitler

Chapter Six

Henry Ford and the Nazis

<u>Henry Ford: Hitler's First Foreign Banker</u> <u>Henry Ford Receives a Nazi Medal</u> <u>Ford Assists the German War Effort</u>

Chapter Seven

Who Financed Adolf Hitler?

Some Early Hitler Backers Fritz Thyssen and W.A. Harriman Company Financing Hitler in the March 1933 Elections The 1933 Political Contributions

Chapter Eight

Putzi: Friend of Hitler and Roosevelt

<u>Putzi's Role in the Reichstag Fire</u> <u>Roosevelt's New Deal and Hitler's New Order</u>

Chapter Nine

Wall Street and the Nazi Inner Circle

<u>The S.S. Circle of Friends</u> <u>I.G. Farben and the Keppler Circle</u> <u>Wall Street and the S.S. Circle</u>

Chapter Ten

The Myth of "Sidney Warburg"

Who Was "Sidney Warburg"? Synopsis of the Suppressed "Warburg" Book James Paul Warbur's Affidavit Some Conclusions from the "Warburg" Story

Chapter Eleven

Wall Street-Nazi Collaboration in World War II

American I.G. in World War II Were American Industrialists and Financiers Guilty of War Crimes?

Chapter Twelve

Conclusions

The Pervasive Influence of International Bankers Is the United States Ruled by a Dictatorial Elite? The New York Elite as a Subversive Force The Slowly Emerging Revisionist Truth

Appendix A

Program of the National Socialist German Workers Party

Appendix B

Affidavit of Hjalmar Schacht

Appendix C

Entries in the "National Trusteeship" Account

Appendix D

Letter from the U.S. War Department to Ethyl Corporation

Appendix E

Extract from Morgenthau Diary (Germany)

Footnotes

Bibliography

Index

Wall Street and the Bolshevik Revolution

By

Antony C. Sutton





View enlarged picture

SUTTON'S RESEARCH REVEALS:

• The role of J. P. (Pontifex Maximus) Morgan banking executives in funneling illegal Bolshevik gold into the U.S.

• How the American Red Cross was coopted by powerful forces on Wall Street.

• Wall Streeters who intervened to free Leon Trotsky, even though Trotsky's stated aim was to engineer "the real revolution"—the Soviet coup which toppled Kerensky.

• The deals made by major corporations to capture the huge Russian market a decade and a half before the U.S. recognized the Soviet regime.

• The "closet socialism" of leading businessmen who paraded publicly as champions of free enterprise.

Table of Contents

Preface

| <u>Chapter</u> 1 | The Actors on the Revolutionary Stage |
|---------------------|--|
| <u>Chapter</u> 2 | Trotsky Leaves New York to Complete the Revolution |
| <u>Chapter</u> 3 | Lenin and German Assistance for the Bolshevik Revolution |