Dental erosion – changing prevalence? A review of British national childrens’ surveys
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Summary. Objectives. To investigate the change in the prevalence of dental erosion, over time, by a review of the data from the published national dental surveys of young people in the UK. A subsidiary objective was to investigate the relationship between erosion and possible associated risk factors.

Design. The review was based on cross-sectional prevalence studies incorporating a clinical dental examination and structured interviews.

Sample. The data were collated from the 1993 UK childrens’ dental health survey and the dental report of the two National Diet and Nutrition Surveys (NDNS) of children aged 11/2–41/2 in 1992/3 and 4–18 years in 1996/7. The criteria used for data collection were comparable between the three different studies.

Results. Comparing the data from the different studies, the prevalence of erosion was seen to increase from the time of the childrens’ dental health survey in 1993 and the NDNS study of 4–18-year-olds in 1996/7. There was a trend towards a higher prevalence of erosion in children aged between 31/2 and 41/2 and in those who consumed carbonated drinks on most days compared with toddlers consuming these drinks less often. Drinks overnight were associated with an increased prevalence of erosion. More 4–6-year-olds with reported symptoms of gastro-oesophageal reflux had erosion compared with symptom-free children. On multivariate analysis, the strongest independent association with erosion was geography, with children living in the North having twice the odds of having erosion compared with those in London and the South-east.

Conclusions. Comparing prevalence data from cross-sectional national studies indicates that dental erosion increases between different age cohorts of young people over time. Dietary associations with erosion are present but weak. Similarly, there is an association apparent between erosion, symptoms of gastro-oesophageal reflux and socio-demographic variables such as region of domicile, social class, and receipt of social benefits.

Introduction

Epidemiological studies of dental erosion have established that the prevalence is high in young people and adolescents [1–3]. This is not a universal finding however, as in the United States, erosion has not been shown to be a prevalent condition, although this probably has more to do with the way in which the Americans record tooth wear than with real differences in prevalence [4]. There is also acknowledgement that the aetiology of dental erosion is multifactorial, with extrinsic factors such as diet.
playing a significant part [2,5–7] but intrinsic factors like gastro-oesophageal disease and other medical conditions being responsible for some dental erosion [8–11]. Whereas the prevalence of dental erosion in young people is acknowledged to be a problem, much less is known about the incidence of the condition [5,12].

The largest epidemiological study of dental erosion in young people in the UK was the national children’s dental health survey of 1993 [13]. A similar assessment of dental erosion was also included in the oral health surveys conducted as part of the National Diet and Nutrition Surveys of preschool children in 1992–3 [14] and of school-age children in 1996/7 [15]. These do not constitute incidence studies but the three surveys give an indication of the prevalence of dental erosion for different age cohorts at different time periods. In addition, the surveys provide some evidence as to the relationship between diet, medical factors, and erosion. This paper aims to review the published data on the prevalence of dental erosion as recorded in these national oral health surveys of young people. In addition, it looks at the relationship between dental erosion and the intrinsic as well as extrinsic factors known to influence its prevalence and incidence, although these were not incidence studies per se.

Method

The national survey of Children’s Dental Health as well as the oral health components of both the 11⁄2–41⁄2-year-old’s and the 4–18-year-old’s National Diet and Nutrition Surveys (NDNS) all used the same criteria for the assessment of dental erosion [13–15] (Table 1). The index was essentially a modification of the Smith and Knight Index [16] as the latter is a wear index and not designed to assess dental erosion specifically. Community dental officers who had all undergone similar training and calibration exercises with the same trainers used the index. The exception was for the toddler’s survey (NDNS for 11⁄2–41⁄2 year olds) where training had been undertaken using slides only.

In both the children’s survey [13] and the 11⁄2–41⁄2-year-old’s NDNS Survey [14], the labial and palatal surfaces of the primary or permanent maxillary incisors were examined for erosion. In the NDNS 4–18-year-old’s survey [15], the labial and palatal surfaces of primary or permanent maxillary incisor teeth as well as the occlusal surfaces of the first primary and/or first permanent molar were included in the assessment of erosion.

Details of a child’s diet were collected in the survey of 11⁄2–41⁄2-year-olds from a 4-day weighed dietary intake record and in the NDNS survey of 4–18-year-olds, from a 7-day weighed dietary intake record. In addition, the latter survey asked young people, in an oral health questionnaire, about food and drinks consumed during the day and night, including how drinks were consumed and how long they made a drink last [15]. Similar, appropriate questions were addressed to the parents of the 11⁄2–41⁄2-year-olds. No dietary questions were included in the national children’s dental health survey [13]. Because of the possible link between aspects of general health and the potential for dental erosion, the oral health questionnaire of the NDNS survey of the 4–18-year-olds asked about asthma and chest problems as well as symptoms indicative of gastro-oesophageal reflux [15]. Where appropriate, logistic and multiple regression analyses were undertaken on the data.

Results

The number of children available for the oral examination was 17,061, 1451 and 1726 in the Children’s Dental Health Survey, the NDNS 11⁄2–41⁄2-year-olds and NDNS 4–18-year-olds surveys, respectively. Data for right and left teeth as well

### Table 1. Criteria for the assessment of dental erosion.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Enamel only – on incisor teeth there is a loss of developmental ridges resulting in smooth, glazed or ‘ground glass’ appearance. On occlusal surfaces the cusps appear rounded and there may be depressions producing ‘cupping’.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Enamel and dentine – there is loss of enamel exposing dentine. On incisors this may resemble a ‘shoulder preparation’ parallel to the crest of the gingivae, particularly on palatal surfaces. The incisors may appear shorter and there may be chipping of the incisal edges. On occlusal surfaces ‘cupping’ and rounding-off of cusps is evident. Restorations may be raised above the level of the adjacent tooth surface.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Enamel, dentine and pulp – loss of enamel and dentine resulting in pulpal exposure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Assessment cannot be made.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
as for males and females have been combined as the prevalence was shown to be very similar.

Table 2 shows the prevalence of any erosion affecting incisors and molars in young people taking part in the three surveys spanning the five years 1992–97. For all ages and both dentitions, erosion is seen to increase with age. This is the case for incisors as well as molar teeth. However, the prevalence of any erosion in both primary and permanent incisors is greater in the NDNS school-age study than in similar-aged young people in the children’s dental health survey conducted three years earlier. For example, amongst 4–6-year-olds, 18% of labial surfaces of primary incisors were affected by erosion in 1993 as compared with 38% in 1996/7. The data for palatal surfaces are however, similar (Fig. 1). For permanent teeth in 11–14-year-olds, the disparity was less but still present; 11% of labial surfaces of permanent incisors affected in 1993 compared with 23% in 1996/7. On palatal surfaces there was virtually no difference between the three years with only a slight increase in erosion into dentine, from 1% to 3% (Fig. 2). Although it is acknowledged that these snapshots are in reality serial, cross-sectional data, the cohort effect deserves consideration. For example, 11–15-year-olds in the 1993 child dental health survey were in the 15–18-year-old-cohort in the 1996/7 NDNS school-age survey. There was

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Proportion of children with any erosion.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDNS 1 1/2–4 1/2 year olds (1992/3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 1/2–2 1/2 yr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 1/2–3 1/2 yr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 1/2–4 1/2 yr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children’s Dental Health Survey (1993)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5–6 yr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7–10 yr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11–15 yr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDNS 4–18 year olds (1996)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4–6 yr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7–10 yr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11–14 yr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15–18 yr</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*primary incisors.
Ds = first primary molars.
6s = first permanent molars.

CDH 1993 (5/6-year-olds)
NDNS 1996/7 (4–6-year-olds)

18% (1%)
CI: 17–19 (1–1)

38% (4%)
CI: 33–43 (2–7)

51% (24%)
CI: 49–53 (23–25)

58% (19%)
CI: 53–63 (15–23)

Base = 3310
Base = 348

Data in brackets refer to erosion in dentine/pulp
CI = Confidence intervals


Fig. 1. Prevalence, with confidence intervals, of any erosion (and dentine/pulp) of primary incisors: 1993 (5–6-year-olds) and 1996/7 (4–6-year-olds).
more erosion recorded in the latter survey on both buccal and palatal surfaces of maxillary permanent incisors. Likewise, 7–10-year-olds in 1993 were in the cohort of 11–14-year-olds in 1996/7. Again, the prevalence of erosion recorded in this group had increased.

There were no dietary data collected in the UK children’s dental health survey. Data from the 1$\frac{1}{2}$–4$\frac{1}{2}$-year-olds survey, although not showing any statistically significant correlation between reported dietary or other practices and dental erosion did indicate some positive trends. For example, 3$\frac{1}{2}$–4$\frac{1}{2}$ year-olds consuming carbonated drinks on most days had more buccal and palatal erosion than toddlers consuming these drinks less frequently, 19% and 43% as compared with 12% and 28%, respectively. Sugary drinks at night were seen to lead to a higher prevalence of erosion especially if they were taken from a mug, cup or glass (Table 3).

In the NDNS survey of 4–18-year-olds, no such associations were seen. Food and drink consumption was divided into tertiles for the purposes of analysis. There was no statistically significant difference in the amount of erosion in young people consuming the highest amounts of acidic foods and drinks compared to their contemporaries who consumed smaller amounts. Although the differences did not reach statistical significance, in the primary dentition of 7–10-year-olds, 47% of the lowest tertile consumers of soft drinks had erosion compared with 58% of those in the highest tertile of consumption. The manner in which young people consumed drinks was also thought to be as important as how

---

**Table 3. Erosion and drinking habits amongst children 3$\frac{1}{2}$–4$\frac{1}{2}$ years of age.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fluids at Night</th>
<th>Buccal</th>
<th>Palatal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Milk</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugary drink</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drinks at night</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In bottle</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feeder cup</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mug, cup, glass</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
much they had. However, making drinks last longer compared with drinking them straight away had no impact on the prevalence of erosion.

There is known to be a relationship between gastro-oesophageal reflux and dental erosion. Symptoms of this may be overt vomiting, indigestion or a chronic cough and asthma. A sore throat or croaky voice on waking in the morning may be signs that reflux is occurring, albeit asymptotically. For these reasons, the oral health questionnaire referred to all these aspects of general health.

Of the conditions listed above, asthma was the most prevalent, affecting 10% of the 7–10-year-olds and 18% of the 15–18-year-olds. A slightly smaller proportion of the subjects were affected by the other conditions. No relationship was found between asthma or any of the other conditions and tooth erosion, although 79% of the 4–6-year-olds that had reported symptoms related to gastro-oesophageal reflux had dental erosion compared with 62% who did not have such symptoms ($P \leq 0.05$).

Multivariate analysis of the data indicated that the only factors showing independent association with the presence or absence of erosion were socio-demographic. Region showed the strongest association with erosion. The odds of having erosion were almost double for those young people living in the North compared with those in London and the South-East (OR 2.38, $P < 0.001$). In the youngest age group, the most significant association was with household type, that is, living with both parents and siblings compared with, for example, as an only child or with a single parent (OR 2.44, $P < 0.001$) and receipt of benefit (OR 1.55, $P < 0.05$). For adolescents, the associations that were strongest were again geographical, north versus south (OR 2.54, $P < 0.001$), social class of the head of household, manual versus non-manual (OR 1.66, $P < 0.01$) and the age, older versus younger teenager (OR 1.63, $P < 0.01$).

**Discussion**

It should be emphasized that this review has been conducted using published cross-sectional data on dental erosion in young people in the UK. No real inferences therefore can be drawn from these data as to the true incidence of dental erosion. In addition, despite intensive training, there have been concerns over the assessment of erosion, in particular the difficulties of standardizing the large numbers of examiners that take part in these national dental surveys.

There is concern, certainly within Europe, over the seemingly very widespread nature of dental erosion. Case reports and cross-sectional studies have all alerted the dental profession to the insidious nature of erosion. Very little is known about the incidence of erosion in young people.

The epidemiology of dental erosion as recorded in the three large national oral health surveys in the United Kingdom confirms the view that erosion is prevalent. Without data on its incidence it is difficult to say whether erosion is assuming the public health importance that dental caries has held for many decades. However, the fact that one third of our toddlers and a half of teenagers all exhibit some signs of erosion indicates that the problem is serious.

There are concerns that the assessment of dental erosion, particularly in the early stages, is difficult. This is not unlike the problem in recording the early caries lesion. The calibration data from some of the national surveys imply that caution should be used in interpreting the data as variability between examiners is high.

The similar criteria and training opportunities for the examiners in all three national surveys allow a degree of comparison between these cross-sectional studies taking account of the aforementioned concerns.

Although undertaken only three years apart, the national children’s dental health survey and the NDNS school-age survey showed an increase in erosion of incisor teeth, for both labial and palatal surfaces. Not all authors have been able to reproduce these trends; Williams et al. [1] in their study of 14-year-olds showed that labial erosion was more prevalent than palatal erosion, 17% and 12%, respectively.

This difference is less marked on the palatal surfaces in the primary dentition perhaps because in 4–6-year-olds, ‘saturation’ has been reached and that, just prior to shedding, these tooth surfaces are unlikely to erode further. The cohort study by Milosevic et al. [5] also showed that erosion develops rapidly; six subjects in their control group of young people with erosion into enamel only, had to be excluded from the analysis because they had developed erosion into dentine in the space of a year.

Much of the advice aimed at preventing or minimizing dental erosion is based on the evidence from case reports and some in vitro and in vivo work. The assumption has been that extrinsic sources of acids, predominantly dietary factors, are the cause in this age group [2,5–7]. Others acknowledge that this may be too simplistic and that other factors such as
oral hygiene levels, social, cultural, medical, occupational, and geographical area may be relevant factors [1,17–19]. However, as in the national studies, the authors have failed to show any relationship with some of these other factors even although erosion was prevalent in their study groups [1,5].

Attention is now focusing on underlying medical conditions as a source of intrinsic acid, even in children [8]. In children in Birmingham, UK, a similar number of young people were affected with asthma as in the national sample reported here, 15.8% compared with 10%–18%, depending on age. However, the young people with asthma in the national sample showed no increase in prevalence of dental erosion, as did the Birmingham study [11]. Gastro-oesophageal disease, often asymptomatic, is relatively prevalent in young people and is a potential cause of dental erosion [8,18]. Authors are divided as to whether this is a true association but it may depend on severity and frequency of reflux, a phenomenon seen more often in some groups than others [9,10]. Symptoms related to gastro-oesophageal disease were the only ones to show a statistically significant relationship with dental erosion in the NDNS study of 4–18-year-olds.

It was evident from the outset that the prevalence of dental erosion is significant in young people in the UK. Although these are all cross-sectional studies, comparison of cohort data indicate that the prevalence of dental erosion may be increasing.

Whereas dietary associations with erosion are weak in many studies, gastro-oesophageal disease may be a more significant aetiological factor than has previously been thought [18]. However, like dental caries, erosion must be seen as having a multifactorial aetiology; apart from gastro-oesophageal reflux, the factors shown to relate positively to erosion in the latest of the national studies, as well as others, are socio-demographic [19]. A more comprehensive model to investigate all the likely aetiologies and their interaction should be researched if we are not to make naive assumptions about the cause of dental erosion and thus hinder our efforts at effective maintenance and prevention.


Conclusions. Les données comparées des enquêtes nationales transversales indiquent que l’érosion dentaire est en augmentation dans le temps entre différentes cohortes d’âge de la population jeune. Des associations nutritionnelles avec l’érosion sont présentes mais faibles. De la même façon, il y a une association apparente entre érosion, symptômes de reflux gastro-oesophagien et variables socio-démographiques telles la région de domicile, la classe sociale et le fait de bénéficier d’avantages sociaux.
Resultados. Comparando los datos de los diferentes estudios, se vio un aumento de la prevalencia de erosión desde el momento del estudio de salud dental de los niños de 1993 y el estudio ENDN de 4–18 años de 1996/7. Había una tendencia hacia una prevalencia más alta de la erosión en niños entre 3½–4½ años y en aquellos que consumían bebidas carbonatadas la mayoría de días comparados con los de la misma edad que consumían con menos frecuencia estas bebidas. Las bebidas por la noche estaban asociadas con una mayor prevalencia de la erosión. Además, niños de 4–6 años que informaban de síntomas de reflujo gastroesofágico tenían erosión comparados con niños libres de síntomas. En el análisis multivariante la asociación independiente más fuerte con erosión fue la geografía con niños que viven en el Norte teniendo dos veces más de probabilidad de tener erosión comparados con los de Londres y el Sureste.

Conclusiones. La comparación de la prevalencia de los datos de los estudios nacionales transversales indica que la erosión dental aumenta entre las diferentes cohortes de edad de gente joven en el tiempo. Asociaciones de la dieta con la erosión están presentes pero son débiles. De manera similar, hay una asociación aparente entre erosión, síntomas y reflujo gastroesofágico y variables demográficas tales como región del domicilio, clase social y recepción de beneficios sociales.
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