

Due: 21st September, 2004. Hand in before the lecture starts at 9:00 a.m.

1. Suppose that  $a, b$  and  $a_i, b_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) are in an ordered field  $\mathbb{F}$ .

$$(a) \text{ Prove that } \frac{|a| + a}{2} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a < 0; \\ a & \text{if } a \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** If  $a < 0$ , then  $|a| = -a$ , so we have  $\frac{|a| + a}{2} = \frac{-a + a}{2} = \frac{0}{2} = 0$ .

If  $a \geq 0$ , then  $|a| = a$ , so we have  $\frac{|a| + a}{2} = \frac{a + a}{2} = a$ . The last equality follows from  $a + a = 1 \cdot a + 1 \cdot a = (1 + 1) \cdot a = 2 \cdot a$ .

$$(b) \text{ Define } a \vee b = \max\{a, b\} = \begin{cases} a & \text{if } b < a; \\ b & \text{if } b \geq a, \end{cases} \text{ and}$$

$$a \wedge b = \min\{a, b\} = \begin{cases} b & \text{if } b < a; \\ a & \text{if } b \geq a. \end{cases}$$

Prove that (i)  $\frac{a + b + |b - a|}{2} = \max\{a, b\}$  and,

$$(ii) \frac{a + b - |b - a|}{2} = \min\{a, b\}.$$

**Proof.** (i) If  $a \geq b$ , then  $a - b \geq 0$ , and so  $|b - a| = a - b$ , in this case,  $\frac{a + b + |b - a|}{2} = \frac{a + b + a - b}{2} = \frac{a + a}{2} = a = \max\{a, b\}$ .

Suppose that  $a < b$ , then  $|b - a| = b - a$ , and so  $\frac{a + b + |b - a|}{2} = \frac{a + b + b - a}{2} = b = \max\{a, b\}$ .

(ii) Leave it to you.

2. Prove, by means of mathematical induction, that

$$|a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n| \leq |a_1| + \dots + |a_n|.$$

Determine when the equality holds.

**Proof.** Proceed by means of mathematical induction on  $n \geq 1$ :

(a) If  $n = 1$  then  $|a_1| = |a_1|$ , and if  $n = 2$ , then it follows from triangle inequality.

(b) Suppose that the inequality holds for  $n = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , then we now prove the inequality holds for  $n = k + 1$ . Let  $a_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq k + 1$ ) be in  $\mathbb{F}$ , then  $|a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_{k+1}| = |(a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_k) + a_{k+1}| \leq |a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_k| + |a_{k+1}| \leq (|a_1| + \dots + |a_k|) + |a_{k+1}|$ .

And hence result follows from mathematical induction.

Return to the cases of equality, it holds if and only if the signs of  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  are all non-negative, or all all non-positive. We leave the proof as an exercise.

3. Let  $S = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$  be a set of  $n$  elements in  $\mathbb{F}$ . Define  $\max\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\} = \max\{\max\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}\}, a_n\}$  for  $n \geq 3$  inductively, and  $\min S$  is defined similarly. Prove that  $\max S$  and  $\min S$  is independent of the choice of the ordering of the elements, i.e.  $\max\{a_{\pi(1)}, a_{\pi(2)}, \dots, a_{\pi(n)}\} = \max\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ , where  $\pi$  is a permutation of the index set  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , and similar equality for  $\min$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\pi : \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  be a bijective mapping. Let  $B_k = \max\{a_{\pi(1)}, a_{\pi(2)}, \dots, a_{\pi(k)}\}$ , and  $A_k = \max\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k\}$  for  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . Want to prove that  $B_n = A_n$ .

(a) By definition of  $\max$ , we have  $B_n \geq a_{\pi(n)}$  and  $B_n \geq B_{n-1}$ , and it must be equal to one of them. It follows from mathematical induction that  $B_n \geq a_{\pi(i)}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ), and  $B_n$  must be equal to one of them, says  $a_{\pi(I)}$ .

(b) Similarly, we have  $A_n \geq a_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ), and  $A_n$  must be equal to one of them, says  $a_J$ . Then let  $K$  such that  $\pi(K) = J$ .

Then  $A_n = a_J = a_{\pi(K)} \leq B_n$ , and similarly,  $B_n = a_{\pi(I)} \leq A_n$ . Thus  $A_n = B_n$ .

4. Suppose that  $b_i > 0$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ), and  $S = \{ \frac{a_1}{b_1}, \frac{a_2}{b_2}, \dots, \frac{a_n}{b_n} \}$ , prove, by means of mathematical induction on  $n$ , that

$$\min S \leq \frac{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n}{b_1 + b_2 + \dots + b_n} \leq \max S.$$

**Proof.** Let  $\max S = M$ , then we have  $\frac{a_i}{b_i} \leq M$ , and hence  $a_i \leq b_i M$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ). Adding these inequalities (in fact it follows from mathematical induction on  $n$ , which we had omitted here), we have  $a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n \leq (b_1 M + b_2 M + \dots + b_n M) = M \cdot (b_1 + b_2 + \dots + b_n)$ . As  $b_1 + b_2 + \dots + b_n > 0 + 0 + \dots + 0 = 0$ , we have  $\frac{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n}{b_1 + b_2 + \dots + b_n} \leq M$ . One can prove the similar result for  $\min S$ .

5. (Supplementary Question). Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be an ordered field. Prove that (i)  $x^2 + xy + y^2 \geq 0$  for any  $x, y \in \mathbb{F}$ ; (ii) Equality holds if and only if  $x = y = 0$ .

**Proof.** One can easily prove that  $2 = 1 + 1 > 0$ ,  $3 = 2 + 1 > 0 + 0 = 0$  and  $4 = 3 + 1 > 0 + 0 = 0$ . Moreover, we know that  $z^2 \geq 0$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{F}$ . So we have  $4(x^2 + xy + y^2) = (x + x + y)^2 + (y^2 + y^2 + y^2) \geq 0 + 0 = 0$ . Then the result follows from  $4 > 0$  and division by 4.

Equality holds if and only if  $(2x + y)^2 = 0$  and  $y^2 + y^2 + y^2 = 0$ , which in turns are equivalent to  $x = y = 0$ .

6. (Supplementary Question). Prove that: if  $a \neq 0$  and  $n$  is a positive integer, then  $\underbrace{a + a + \dots + a}_{n \text{ terms}} \neq 0$ .

**Proof.** It suffice to prove that  $\underbrace{1 + 1 + \dots + 1}_{n \text{ terms}} \neq 0$ . We know that  $1 \in P$ , and it follows from the positivity is closed under addition and mathematical induction that  $\underbrace{1 + 1 + \dots + 1}_{n \text{ terms}} \in P$ , and hence the sum is non-zero.

**Remark.** Even though, we have not introduced natural numbers yet.