

JURY DUTY

I wonder if these people selected for Jury Duty really know the power they have?
In my research here is what I found out.

Thomas Jefferson put it, "Ancient Principles." It is not designed to promote lawlessness or a return to the jungle. The "Ancient Principles" refer to the Ten Commandments and the common law is, in simple terms, just plain common sense and has its roots in the Ten Commandments.

In 1776 we came out of BONDAGE with FAITH, UNDERSTANDING and COURAGE. Even against great odds, and with much bloodshed, we battled our way to achieve LIBERTY.

LIBERTY is that delicate area between the force of government and FREEWILL of man. LIBERTY brings FREEDOM of choice to work, to trade, to go and live where ever one wishes; it leads to ABUNDANCE.

ABUNDANCE, if made an end in itself, will result in COMPLACENCY which leads to APATHY.

APATHY is the "*let George do it*" philosophy. This always brings DEPENDENCY.
For a period of time, dependents are often not aware they are dependent. They delude themselves by thinking they are still free - "*We have never has it so good*"- "*We can still vote cannot we?*"
Eventually abundance diminishes and DEPENDENCY becomes known by its true nature: BONDAGE.

There are a few ways out of bondage. Bloodshed and war often result, but our founding fathers learned of a better way. Realizing that a CREATOR is always above and greater than that which He creates, they established a three vote system by which an informed citizenry can control those acting in the name of the government. To be a good master you must always remember the true "pecking order" or chain of command.

1. GOD created man
2. Man (that's you) created the Constitution
3. Constitution created the government
4. Governments created corporations, etc.

The base of power was to remain in WE THE PEOPLE but unfortunately, it has lost to the leaders acting in the name of governments, such as politicians, bureaucrats, judges lawyers etc.

As a result America began to function like democracy instead of a REPUBLIC.
(President Bush and many high government officials refer to this county a democracy on television.)
A democracy is dangerous because it is a one vote system as opposed to a REPUBLIC, which is a three vote system: Three votes to check tyranny, not just one. American citizens have not been informed of the other two votes.

Our first vote: is at the polls on Election Day when we pick those who represent us in the seats of government. But what can be done if those elected officials just do not perform as promised or expected? Well, the second two votes are the most effective means by which the common people of any nation on earth have ever had in controlling those appointed to serve them in government.

The Second vote: comes when you serve on the Grand Jury. Before anyone can be brought to trial for a capital offense for those acting in the name of the government, permission must be obtained from the Grand Jury.

In the MINNEAPOLIS STAR AND TRIBUNE in March 27 1987. edition noted the purpose of the "*Grand Jury is to protect the public from an overzealous prosecutor.*"

The third and most powerful vote: this is when you are acting as a duty member during a courtroom trial. At this point, the "BUCK STOPS" with you!

It is in this setting that each JUROR has more power than the President and all of the 535 members of Congress, and all the judges combined!

Congress can legislate (Make Law), the president or some other bureaucrat can make an order or issue regulations, but no JUROR can ever be punished for voting "Not Guilty! "

Any JUROR can without impunity choose to disregard the instructions of any judge or attorney in rendering his vote, if only one juror should vote "not guilty" for any reason, at the end of the trial.

Thus, those acting in the name of government must come before the common man to get permission to enforce the law.

AS A JUROR YOU ARE ABOVE THE LAW

As a Juror in a trial setting when it comes to your individual vote of innocent or guilty, you are truly answerable only to GOD ALMIGHTY. The First Amendment to the Constitution was born out of this great concept. However, judges of today refuse to inform JURORS of their RIGHTS.

The MINNEAPOLIS STAR AND TRIBUNE in a newspaper article appearing November 30 1984 edition entitled: "WHAT JUDGES DON'T TELL THE JURIES stated":

"At the time of the adoption of the Constitution, the jury's role as defense against oppression was unquestioned in American jurisprudence. This nation survived until 1850's when prosecutions under the Fugitive Slave Act were largely unsuccessful because juries refused to convict."

"Then judges began to erode the institution of free juries, leading to the absurd compromise that is the current state of the law. While our courts uniformly state juries have the power to return a not guilty verdict whatever the facts, they routinely tell the jurors the opposite."

"Further, the courts will not allow the defendants or their counsel to inform the jurors of their TRUE POWER. A lawyer who made ... Hamilton's argument would face professional discipline and charges of contempt in court."

"By what logic should juries have the power to acquit a defendant but no right to know about that power? The court decisions that have suppressed the notion of jury nullification cannot resolve this paradox."

"More than Logic has suffered. As originally conceived, juries were to be a link of a safety valve, a way to soften the bureaucratic rigidity of the judicial system by introducing the common sense of the community. If they are to function effectively as 'conscience of the community,' jurors must be told that they have the power and the right to say no to the prosecution in order to achieve a greater good. To cut jurors off from this information is to undermine one of the most important institutions."

"Perhaps the community should educate itself. Then citizens called for jury duty could teach the judges a needed lesson in civics."

The only power the judge has over the JURY is their ignorance!

JURY RIGHTS

- "The jury has the right to judge both the law as well as the fact in controversy."

John Jay, 1st Chief Justice US Supreme Court 1789

- "The Jury has the right to determine both the law and the facts."

Samuel Chase US Supreme Court 1796

- "The Jury has the power to bring a verdict in the teeth of both law and fact."

Oliver Wendell Holmes Supreme Court 1902

Just remember, if you are called to jury duty you do not have to listen to the judge "charging the jury" you are more powerful than the president of the United States.

Source: R. W. Moore <rwmoore@alltel.net>